arXiv:0903.2349v2 [math.AG] 15 Sep 2009

Coverings in p-adic analytic geometry and log coverings II: Cospecialization of the (p')-tempered fundamental group in higher dimensions

Emmanuel Lepage

September 15, 2009

Contents

1 Preliminaries 7
- 1.1 Tempered fundamental group 7
- 1.2 Log fundamental groups 9
  - 1.2.1 Log schemes 9
  - 1.2.2 Két coverings 11
  - 1.2.3 Saturated morphisms 13
  - 1.2.4 Specialisation of log fundamental groups 14
- 1.3 Skeleton of a Berkovich space with pluristable reduction 14

2 Tempered fundamental group of a polystable log scheme 19
- 2.1 Polystable log schemes 19
- 2.2 Polysimplicial set of a két log scheme over a polystable log scheme 20
- 2.3 Tempered fundamental group of a polystable log fibration 25

3 Comparison result for the pro-(p') tempered fundamental group 29
- 3.1 Skeleton of a két log scheme over a pluristable log scheme 30
- 3.2 Comparison theorem 32
- 3.3 Geometric comparison theorem 33

4 Cospecialization of pro-(p') tempered fundamental group 34
- 4.1 Cospecialization of polysimplicial sets 34
- 4.2 Cospecialization morphisms of pro-(p') tempered fundamental groups 39

Introduction

For a proper morphism of schemes $f : X \to Y$ with geometrically connected fibers and a specialization $\bar{y}_1 \to \bar{y}_2$ of geometric points of Y, A. Grothendieck

has defined algebraic fundamental groups $\pi_1^{alg}(X_{\bar{y}_i})$ and a specialization homomorphism $\pi_1^{alg}(X_{\bar{y}_1}) \to \pi_1^{alg}(X_{\bar{y}_2})$. Grothendieck's specialization theorem tells that this homomorphism is surjective if f is separable and induces an isomorphism between the prime-to-p quotients if f is smooth (here, p denotes the characteristic of $\bar{y}_2$, eventually 0), cf. [9, cor. X.2.4, cor. X.3.9].
In complex analytic geometry, a smooth and proper morphism is locally a trivial fibration of real differential manifolds, so that, in particular, all the fibers are homeomorphic, and thus have isomorphic (topological) fundamental groups.

The aim of this paper is to find some analogous result to the specialization theorem of Grothendieck in the frame of non archimedean analytic geometry. We already studied the one dimensional case in dimension 1 in [16]. The results obtained here require some assumptions that did not appear in [16], so that the results of [16] will not be covered by the results of this article.
What will play the role of the fundamental group in the non archimedean setting will be the *tempered fundamental group* of Y. André.
The tempered fundamental group was introduced in [2, part III] as a sort of non archimedean analog of the topological fundamental group of complex algebraic varieties; its profinite completion coincides with Grothendieck's algebraic fundamental group; it has itself many infinite discrete quotients in general.
Since the analytification (in the sense of V. Berkovich or of rigid geometry) of a finite étale covering of a p-adic algebraic variety is not necessarily a topological covering, André had to use a slightly larger notion of covering. He defined tempered coverings, which are étale coverings in the sense of A.J. de Jong (that is to say that locally on the Berkovich topology, it is a direct sum of finite coverings) such that, after pulling back by some finite étale covering, they become topological coverings (for the Berkovich topology). The *tempered fundamental group* is the prodiscrete group that classifies those tempered coverings. To give a more handful description, if one has a sequence of pointed finite Galois connected coverings $((S_i, s_i))_{i \in \mathbf{N}}$ such that the corresponding pointed pro-covering of (X, x) is the universal pro-covering of (X, x), and if (S_i^∞, s_i^∞) is a universal topological covering of S_i, the tempered fundamental group of X can be seen as $\pi_1^{\text{temp}}(X, x) = \varprojlim_i \text{Gal}(S_i^\infty / X)$. Therefore, to understand the tempered fundamental group of a variety, one has to understand the topological behavior of its finite étale coverings.

One problem which appears at once in looking for some non archimedean analog of Grothendieck's specialization theorems is that there are in general no non trivial specializations between distinct points of a non archimedean analytic (Berkovich or rigid) space: for example a separated Berkovich space has a Hausdorff underlying topological space, so that if there is a cospecialization (for the Berkovich topology, the étale topology...) between two geometric points of a Berkovich space, the two geometric points must have the same underlying point. Thus we will study specialization through a reduction (with good enough properties).

We want to understand how the tempered fundamental group of the geometric fibers of a smooth family varies. Let us for instance consider a family of elliptic curves. The tempered fundamental group of an elliptic curve over a

complete algebraically closed non archimedean closed field is $\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}^2$ if it has good reduction, and $\widehat{\mathbf{Z}} \times \mathbf{Z}$ if it is a Tate curve. In particular, by looking at a moduli space of stable pointed elliptic curves with level structure[1], the tempered fundamental group (or any reasonable (p')-version) cannot be constant.
Moreover, if one looks at the moduli space over $\mathbf{Z}_p$, and one considers a curve E_1 with bad reduction and a curve E_0 with generic reduction (hence good reduction), there cannot be a morphism $\pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(E_0) \to \pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(E_1)$ which induces Grothendieck's specialization on the profinite completion, although the reduction point corresponding to E_1 specializes to the reduction point corresponding to E_0. Therefore there cannot be any reasonable specialization theory.
On the contrary, if one has two geometric points η_1 and η_2 of the moduli space such that the reduction of η_1 specializes to the reduction of η_2, then E_{η_1} has necessarily better reduction than E_{η_2} and there is some morphism $\pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(E_{\eta_2}) \to \pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(E_{\eta_1})$ that induces an isomorphism on the profinite completions. Thus we want to look for a *cospecialization* of the tempered fundamental group.

The topological behavior of a general finite étale covering is too pathological to hope to have a simple cospecialization theory without adding a (p') condition on the coverings: for example two Mumford curves over some finite extension of $\mathbf{Q}_p$ with isomorphic geometric tempered fundamental group have the same metrized graph of the stable reduction. Thus even if two Mumford curves have isomorphic stable reduction (and thus the point corresponding to their stable reduction is the same), they do not have isomorphic tempered fundamental group in general. Thus we will only study here finite coverings that are dominated by a finite Galois covering whose order is prime to p, where p is the residual characteristic (which can be 0; such a covering will be called a (p')-finite covering). Then, it becomes natural to introduce a (p')-tempered fundamental group which classifies tempered coverings that become topological coverings after pullback along some (p')-finite covering. It should be remarked that this (p')-tempered fundamental group cannot be in general recovered from the tempered fundamental group.
The (p')-tempered fundamental group of a curve was already studied by S. Mochizuki in [17]. It can be described in terms of a graph of profinite groups. From this description, one easily sees that the isomorphism class of the (p')-tempered fundamental group of a p-adic curve depends only of the stratum of the Knudsen stratification of the moduli space of stable curves in which the stable reduction lies. Moreover if one has two strata x_1 and x_2 in the moduli space of stable curves such that x_1 is in the closure of x_2, one can easily construct morphisms from the graph of groups corresponding to x_1 to the graph of groups corresponding to x_2 (inducing morphisms of tempered fundamental groups which induce isomorphisms of the pro-(p') completions).

---

[1] to avoid stacks. However, the cospecialization homomorphisms we will construct will be local for the étale topology of the special fiber of the base. Thus, the fact that the base is a Deligne-Mumford stack is not really a problem.

We shall study the following general situation. Let K be a discretely valued field, O_K be its valuation ring, k be its residue field and p its characteristics (which can be 0). Let $X \to Y$ be a pluristable (for example semistable) morphism of schemes over O_K, which is smooth over K.
If η_1 is a (Berkovich) point of the generic fiber of Y, we first want to describe the geometric (p')-tempered fundamental group of Y_{η_1} in terms of Y_{s_1} where s_1 is the reduction of η_1 (to be sure that this reduction exists we thus have to assume Y to be proper over O_K; otherwise, we have to consider only points η_1 in the tube of the special fiber of Y). Let us make sure at first that we can get such a description for the pro-(p') completion, *i.e.* the algebraic fundamental group. One cannot apply directly Grothendieck's specialization theorems since the special fiber is not smooth but only pluristable. Indeed, a pro-(p') geometric covering of the generic fiber will in generally only induce a Kummer covering on the special fiber. These are more naturally described in terms of *log geometry*. To do so we will have to assume the field $\mathcal{H}(\eta_1)$ to be with discrete valuation in order to get log schemes with good finiteness properties (more precisely to be fs). Then, one can endow X_{s_1} with a natural log structure such that the pro-(p') fundamental group of X_{η_1} is isomorphic to a pro-(p') log fundamental group (as defined in [11]). To try to describe the (p')-tempered fundamental group, one has to describe the topological behavior of any (p')-algebraic covering of X_{η_1}. Berkovich, in [5], constructed a combinatorial object (more precisely a *polysimplicial set*) depending only on X_{s_1}, such that the Berkovich generic fiber X_{η_1} is naturally homotopically equivalent to the geometric realization of this combinatorial object, thus generalizing the case of curves with semistable reduction, where the homotopy type of the generic fiber can be naturally described in terms of the graph of this semistable reduction. We will extend such a description to our log coverings. This will enable us to define a (p')-tempered fundamental group of our log reduction, which is isomorphic to the tempered fundamental group of the generic fiber. In particular:

Theorem 0.1. *The (p')-tempered fundamental group of X_{η_1} only depends on the log reduction X_{s_1}.*

Once we have a definition for the log geometric tempered fundamental group $\pi_1^{\text{temp-geom}}(X_{s_1})$ of the log fibers in the special locus of Y, one can reformulate our cospecialization problem only in terms of this special locus.
We will now assume Y to be log smooth over O_K (this endows Y with a canonical stratification ; we did not used such an assumption for the case of curves in [16]). We will also have to make an assumption on the combinatorial behavior of the geometric fibers of $X \to Y$. More precisely, the polysimplicial set associated with those geometric fibers will be assumed to be interiorly free. This is for example the case if $X \to Y$ is strictly polystable or if $X \to Y$ is of relative dimension 1 (which explains why such a condition did not appear in [16]). We will prove the following:

Theorem 0.2. *Let η_1 and η_2 be two Berkovich points with discrete valuation fields of the Zariski open subset Y_η^{tr} of Y_η where the log structure is trivial, and let $\bar{\eta}_1, \bar{\eta}_2$ be geometric points above them. Let $\bar{s}_2 \to \bar{s}_1$ be a specialization of their log reductions, then there is a cospecialization homomorphism $\pi_1^{\text{temp-geom}}(X_{\bar{\eta}_1})^{\mathbb{L}} \to$*

$\pi_1^{\text{temp-geom}}(X_{\bar{\eta}_2})^{\mathbb{L}}$*, which is an isomorphism if* $\bar{s}_1$ *and* $\bar{s}_2$ *lie in the same stratum of* Y*.*

If one does not assume the geometric fibers of $X \to Y$ to have interiorly free polysimplicial sets, there still is the cospecialization homomorphism if $\bar{s}_2$ is the generic point of a stratum.

Let us come back to our moduli space of pointed stable elliptic curves with high enough level structure M over O_K, and let C be the canonical stable elliptic curve on M. If η_1 and η_2 are two Berkovich points of M_η, they are in M_η^{tr} if and only if C_{η_1} and C_{η_2} are smooth. $C \to M$, endowed with their natural log-structures over (O_K, O_K^*), is a polystable morphism of log schemes, and $M \to O_K$ is log smooth. Since the polysimplicial set of a semistable curve over a separably closed field is interiorly free, the polysimplicial sets of the geometric fibers of $C \to M$ are interiorly free, so that one can apply our theorem. One thus get a cospecialization outer morphism $\pi_1^{\text{temp}}(C_{\overline{\eta}_1}) \to \pi_1^{\text{temp}}(C_{\overline{\eta}_2})$ for every specialization $\overline{s}_2 \to \overline{s}_1$, which is an isomorphism if $\overline{s}_1$ and $\overline{s}_2$ are in the same stratum of M_s. Since the moduli stack of pointed stable elliptic curves over $\operatorname{Spec} k$ has only two stratum, one corresponding to smooth elliptic curves M_0 and one to singular curves M_1, one gets that $\pi_1^{\text{temp}}(E_1)^{\mathbb{L}} \simeq \pi_1^{\text{temp}}(E_2)^{\mathbb{L}}$ if E_1 and E_2 are two curves with good reduction or two Tate curves (the isomorphism depends on choices of cospecializations). Since M_1 is in the closure of M_0 one gets a morphism from the tempered fundamental group of a Tate curve to the tempered fundamental group of an elliptic curve with good reduction.

The first thing we need to construct the cospecialization homomorphism for tempered fundamental groups is a specialization morphism for the (p')-log geometric fundamental groups of $X_{\bar{s}_1}$ and $X_{\bar{s}_2}$ that extends any (p')-log geometric covering of X_{s_1} to a két neighborhood of s_1 (if one has such a specialization morphism, by comparing it to the fundamental groups of $X_{\overline{\eta}_1}$ and $X_{\overline{\eta}_2}$ and using Grothendieck's specialization theorem, we will easily get that it must be an isomorphism). This specialization morphism is easily deduced from [20] if s_1 is a strict point of Y, *i.e.* the log structure of s_1 is just the pull back of the log structure of Y. Thus we will study the invariance of the log geometric fundamental group by change of fs base point. Then we have to study the combinatorial behavior of a két covering with respect to cospecialization. Cospecialization morphisms of the polysimplicial set of the fibers of a stricly polystable log fibration are already given by Berkovich in [5, cor. 6.2] when Y is plurinodal and s_1, s_2 are the topological (*i.e.* not with value in a field, or equivalently with value in the local field at their image in Y and with strict log structure) generic points of strata of Y, without any assumption of properness. The construction easily extends étale locally to our situation if one only still assumes s_2 to be the generic point of a stratum. To get a cospecialization morphism of geometric polysimplicial sets, we will have to prove that after further két localization at $\bar{s}_1$, the strata of X_{s_2} whose closures meet X_k are geometrically connected. This will follow from the fact, that after some localization, the closure of those strata are flat over their image in Y and have reduced geometric fibers. One then descends these cospecialization morphisms we had étale locally. In the initial proper case, this cospecialization morphism is an isomorphism if s_1 and s_2 lie in the same stratum of Y and the polysimplicial set of $X_{\bar{s}_2}$ is interiorly free. These cospecialization morphisms commute with két coverings, and thus will give us the wanted cospecialization morphisms.

Let us now discuss the organization of the paper.
The first section of this paper will be devoted to recall the main tools we will need later. We will recall the definition of the tempered fundamental group and its basic properties. We will also consider an $\mathbb{L}$-version of the tempered fundamental group, where $\mathbb{L}$ is a set of prime numbers ($\mathbb{L}$-tempered fundamental groups were already introduced in [17] in the case of curves). We will then recall the basics of log geometry, especially the theory of két coverings and log fundamental groups. We will end this part by recalling the topological structure of the Berkovich space of a pluristable formal scheme, as studied in [5] and in [6].

In §2, we define the tempered fundamental group of a pluristable log scheme X over a log point. To do this, we define a functor C from the Kummer étale site of our pluristable log scheme X to the category of polysimplicial sets (which extends the definition of the polysimplicial set associated to a pluristable scheme defined by Berkovich in [5]). Thus, for any Galois két covering Y of X, there is an action of $\mathrm{Gal}(X/Y)$ on $\mathrm{C}(Y)$, which defines an extension of $\mathrm{Gal}(X/Y)$ by $\pi_1^{\mathrm{top}}(|\,\mathrm{C}(Y)|)$. The tempered fundamental group of X will then be defined to be the projective limits of these extensions, when Y runs through pointed két Galois coverings of X. As for the tempered fundamental group of a Berkovich space, one also defines $\mathbb{L}$-versions of the tempered fundamental group of our pluristable log scheme. One also defines a log geometric version by taking the projective limit under connected két extensions of the log point.

In §3, for a proper, generically smooth and pluristable scheme X over a complete discretely valued ring O_K (thus endowed with a canonical log structure), we construct a specialization morphism between the $\mathbb{L}$-tempered fundamental group of the generic fiber, considered as a Berkovich space, and the $\mathbb{L}$-tempered fundamental group of the special fiber endowed with the inverse image log structure, which is an isomorphism if the residual characteristic of K is not in $\mathbb{L}$.
This specialization morphism is induced by the specialization morphism from the algebraic fundamental group of the generic fiber to the log fundamental group of the special fiber, and by the fact that the geometric realization of the polysimplicial set $|\,\mathrm{C}(Y)|$ of a két covering of the special fiber of X is canonically homotopically equivalent to the Berkovich space Y_η^{an} of the corresponding étale covering of the generic fiber. This homotopy equivalence is obtained by extending the strong deformation retraction of X_η^{an} to a strong deformation retraction of Y_η^{an} onto a subset canonically homeomorphic to $|\,\mathrm{C}(Y)|$.

In §4, we start by studying specialization of the (p')-log fundamental group of a proper, log smooth and saturated morphism of fs log schemes.
We then construct cospecialization morphisms between the polysimplicial sets of the fibers of a strictly polystable fibration over a log regular Zariski log scheme. This cospecialization question is already studied in [5], when the base scheme is strictly plurinodal for the generic points of the strata. Our cospecialization

morphisms extend to cospecialization morphisms of the geometric polysimplicial sets of the fibers of a két covering of a strictly polystable fibration.
The two cospecialization theories fit together, and thus we obtain cospecialization morphisms between the (p')-geometric tempered fundamental groups of the fibers of our strictly polystable log fibration.
Thanks to the isomorphisms between the (p')-geometric tempered fundamental group of the fiber over a discretely valued Berkovich point of the generic part of our base log scheme and the (p')-geometric tempered fundamental group of the fiber over the reduction log point, we will get theorem 0.2.

This work is part of a PhD thesis. I would like to thank my advisor, Yves André, for suggesting me to work on the cospecialization of the tempered fundamental group and taking the time of reading and correcting this work. I would also like to thank Luc Illusie and Fumiharu Kato for taking interest in my problem about the invariance of geometric log fundamental groups by base change.

1 Preliminaries

1.1 Tempered fundamental group

Let K be a complete nonarchimedean field.
Let $\mathbb{L}$ be a set of prime numbers (for example, we will denote by (p') the set of all primes except the residual characteristic p of K). An $\mathbb{L}$-integer will be an integer which is a product of elements of $\mathbb{L}$.
Following [1, §4], a *K-manifold* will be a smooth paracompact strictly K-analytic space in the sense of Berkovich. For example, if X is a smooth algebraic K-variety, X^{an} is a K-manifold (and in fact, we will mainly be interested in those spaces). Then, thanks to [5], any K-manifold is locally contractible (we will explain in more detail the results of [5] in section 1.3). In particular, it has a universal covering.
A morphism $f : S' \to S$ is said to be an *étale covering* if S is covered by open subsets U such that $f^{-1}(U) = \coprod V_j$ and $V_j \to U$ is étale finite ([7]).
For example, étale $\mathbb{L}$-finite coverings (*i.e.* étale finite coverings such that the order of every connected component is an $\mathbb{L}$-integer), also called *$\mathbb{L}$-algebraic coverings*, and coverings in the usual topological sense for the Berkovich topology, also called *topological coverings*, are étale coverings.
Then, André defines tempered coverings in [2, def. 2.1.1]. We generalize this definition to $\mathbb{L}$-tempered coverings as follows:

Definition 1.1. An étale covering $S' \to S$ is *$\mathbb{L}$-tempered* if it is a quotient of the composition of a topological covering $T' \to T$ and of a $\mathbb{L}$-finite étale covering $T \to S$.

This is equivalent to say that it becomes a topological covering after pullback by some $\mathbb{L}$-finite étale covering.
We denote by $\mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{temp}}(X)^{\mathbb{L}}$ (resp. $\mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{alg}}(X)^{\mathbb{L}}$, $\mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{top}}(X)$) the category of $\mathbb{L}$-tempered coverings (resp. $\mathbb{L}$-algebraic coverings, topological coverings) of X (with the obvious morphisms).

A geometric point of a K-manifold X is a morphism of Berkovich spaces $\mathcal{M}(\Omega) \to X$ where Ω is an algebraically closed complete isometric extension of K.
Let $\bar{x}$ be a geometric point of X. Then one has a functor

$$F_{\bar{x}}^{\mathbb{L}} : \mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{temp}}(X)^{\mathbb{L}} \to \mathrm{Set}$$

which maps a covering $S \to X$ to the set $S_{\bar{x}}$. If $\bar{x}$ and $\bar{x}'$ are two geometric points, then $F_{\bar{x}}^{\mathbb{L}}$ and $F_{\bar{x}'}^{\mathbb{L}}$ are (non canonically) isomorphic ([7, prop. 2.9]).
The tempered fundamental group of X pointed at $\bar{x}$ is

$$\pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(X, \bar{x})^{\mathbb{L}} = \mathrm{Aut}\, F_{\bar{x}}^{\mathbb{L}}.$$

When X is a smooth algebraic K-variety, $\mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{temp}}(X^{\mathrm{an}})^{\mathbb{L}}$ and $\pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(X^{\mathrm{an}}, \bar{x})^{\mathbb{L}}$ will also be denoted simply by $\mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{temp}}(X)^{\mathbb{L}}$ and $\pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(X, \bar{x})^{\mathbb{L}}$.
By considering the stabilizers $(\mathrm{Stab}_{F(S)}(s))_{S \in \mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{temp}}(X)^{\mathbb{L}}, s \in F_{\bar{x}}(S)}$ as a basis of open subgroups of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(X, \bar{x})^{\mathbb{L}}$, $\pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(X, \bar{x})^{\mathbb{L}}$ becomes a topological group. It is a prodiscrete topological group.
When X is algebraic, K of characteristic zero and has only countably many finite extensions in a fixed algebraic closure $\overline{K}$, $\pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(X, \bar{x})^{\mathbb{L}}$ has a countable fundamental system of neighborhood of 1 and all its discrete quotient groups are finitely generated ([2, prop. 2.1.7]).

If $\bar{x}$ and $\bar{x}'$ are two geometric points, then $F_{\bar{x}}^{\mathbb{L}}$ and $F_{\bar{x}'}^{\mathbb{L}}$ are (non canonically) isomorphic ([7, prop. 2.9]). Thus, as usual, the tempered fundamental group depends on the basepoint only up to inner automorphism. This topological group, considered up to conjugation, will sometimes be denoted simply $\pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(X)^{\mathbb{L}}$.
The full subcategory of tempered coverings S for which $F_{\bar{x}}^{\mathbb{L}}(S)$ is $\mathbb{L}$-finite is equivalent to $\mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{alg}}(S)^{\mathbb{L}}$, hence

$$\left(\pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(X, \bar{x})^{\mathbb{L}}\right)^{\mathbb{L}} = \pi_1^{\mathrm{alg}}(X, \bar{x})^{\mathbb{L}}$$

(where $(\ )^{\mathbb{L}}$ denotes the pro-$\mathbb{L}$ completion).
For any morphism $X \to Y$, the pullback defines a functor $\mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{temp}}(Y)^{\mathbb{L}} \to \mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{temp}}(X)^{\mathbb{L}}$. If $\bar{x}$ is a geometric point of X with image $\bar{y}$ in Y, this gives rise to a continuous homomorphism

$$\pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(X, \bar{x})^{\mathbb{L}} \to \pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(Y, \bar{y})^{\mathbb{L}}$$

(hence an outer morphism $\pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(X)^{\mathbb{L}} \to \pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(Y)^{\mathbb{L}}$).
One has the analog of the usual Galois correspondence:

Theorem 1.1 ([2, th. 1.4.5]). $F_{\bar{x}}^{\mathbb{L}}$ *induces an equivalence of categories between the category of direct sums of* $\mathbb{L}$*-tempered coverings of* X *and the category* $\pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(X, \bar{x})^{\mathbb{L}}$ -Set *of discrete sets endowed with a continuous left action of* $\pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(X, \bar{x})^{\mathbb{L}}$.

If S is a $\mathbb{L}$-finite Galois covering of X, its universal topological covering S^{∞} is still Galois and every connected $\mathbb{L}$-tempered covering is dominated by such a Galois $\mathbb{L}$-tempered covering.
If $((S_i, \bar{s}_i))_{i \in \mathbf{N}}$ is a cofinal projective system (with morphisms $f_{ij} : S_i \to S_j$ which maps s_i to s_j for $i \geq j$) of geometrically pointed Galois $\mathbb{L}$-finite étale coverings of $(X, \bar{x})$, let $((S_i^{\infty}, \bar{s}_i^{\infty}))_{i \in \mathbf{N}}$ be the projective system, with morphisms

f_{ij}^∞ for $i \geq j$, of its pointed universal topological coverings. Then $F_{\bar{x}}^{\mathbb{L}}(S_i^\infty) = \pi_1^{\text{temp}}(X,\bar{x})^{\mathbb{L}}/\operatorname{Stab}_{F(S_i^\infty)}(\bar{s}_i^\infty)$ is naturally a quotient group G of $\pi_1^{\text{temp}}(X,\bar{x})^{\mathbb{L}}$ for which s_i^∞ is the neutral element. Moreover G acts by G-automorphisms on $F_{\bar{x}}^{\mathbb{L}}(S_i^\infty)$ by right translation (and thus on S_i^∞ thanks to the Galois correspondence (theorem 1.1)). Thus one gets a morphism $\pi_1^{\text{temp}}(X,\bar{x})^{\mathbb{L}} \to \operatorname{Gal}(S_i^\infty/X)$. As $f_{ij}^\infty(s_i^\infty) = s_j^\infty$, these morphisms are compatible with $\operatorname{Gal}(S_i^\infty/X) \to \operatorname{Gal}(S_j^\infty/X)$. Then, thanks to [2, lem. III.2.1.5],

Proposition 1.2.

$$\pi_1^{\text{temp}}(X,\bar{x})^{\mathbb{L}} \to \varprojlim \operatorname{Gal}(S_i^\infty/X)$$

is an isomorphism.

1.2 Log fundamental groups

This part is a reminder of the theory of log schemes, as can be found in [13] and [19], and of the log fundamental groups, as can be found in [11] or [22].

1.2.1 Log schemes

All monoids here are commutative with units, and morphisms of monoids map the unit to the unit. If P is a monoid, P^{gp} will be its group envelope, P^* the group of invertible elements of P and $\overline{P} = P/P^*$.
If $a, b \in P$, we will write $a|b$ if there is $c \in P$ such that $b = ac$.
A monoid P is *sharp* if $P^* = \{1\}$.
A monoid P is *integral* if the morphism $P \to P^{\text{gp}}$ is injective. A monoid is *fine* if it is integral and finitely generated. An integral monoid is *saturated* if $a \in P^{\text{gp}}$ is in P if there exists n such that $a^n \in P$.
If P is a fine and saturated monoid (or fs for short), $P^{\text{gp}}/P^* = \overline{P}^{\text{gp}}$ is a free abelian group of finite type, and thus there is a (non canonical) section $\overline{P}^{\text{gp}} \to P^{\text{gp}}$ and it induces a decomposition $P = P^* \oplus \overline{P}$.
A morphism $f : P \to Q$ of monoids is *local* if $f^{-1}(Q^*) = P^*$ A morphism $P \to Q$ of integral monoids is *exact* if P is the inverse image of Q in P^{gp}.

A *prime* $\mathfrak{p}$ of an fs monoid P is a subset of P such that if $p \in \mathfrak{p}$ and $p' \in P$ then $p + p' \in \mathfrak{P}$, and if $p, p' \in P$ and $p + p' \in \mathfrak{p}$ then $p \in \mathfrak{p}$ or $p' \in \mathfrak{p}$.
A subset F of an fs monoid P is called a *face* if $P \backslash F$ is a prime (in particular F is a submonoid of P).
$\operatorname{Spec} P$ denotes the topological space of primes of P, where $(D(f) = \{\mathfrak{p}, f \notin \mathfrak{p}\})_{f \in P}$ is a basis of the topology of $\operatorname{Spec} P$.
If $f : P \to Q$ is a morphism of fs monoids and $\mathfrak{q}$ is a prime of Q, then $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{q})$ is a prime of P, hence a map $\operatorname{Spec} Q \to \operatorname{Spec} P$.

A *pre-log structure* on a scheme X is a pair (M, α) where M is a sheaf of monoids on $X_{\text{ét}}$, and $\alpha : M \to (O_X, .)$ is a morphism of sheaves of monoids, where O_X is the canonical sheaf of X and . is the multiplication on O_X. A pre-log structure will be a *log structure* if the induced map $\alpha^{-1}(O_X^*) \to O_X^*$ is an isomorphism. A *log scheme* X is a scheme (the *underlying scheme* $\overset{\circ}{X}$ of the log scheme) with a log structure on it.
The forgetful functor from log structures on X to pre-log structures on X admits a left adjoint $(M, \alpha) \mapsto (M^a, \alpha^a)$ where M^a is the amalgamated sum of Q

and O_X along $\alpha^{-1}(O_X^*)$ (this log structure is called the log structure *associated* to (M, α)).
A *morphism of log schemes* $f : (X, M, \alpha) \to (Y, N, \beta)$ is a morphism of schemes $f : X \to Y$ with a morphism of sheaves of monoids $f^{-1}N \to M$ compatible with α and β. Then $f^{-1}N \to M$ is necessarily a local morphism of sheaves of monoids.
A log scheme X is *integral* if for every geometric point $\bar{x}$ of $\mathring{X}$, $M_{\bar{x}}$ is integral.
If $Y = (Y, N, \beta)$ is a log scheme and $X \to \mathring{Y}$ is a morphism of schemes, the log structure on X associated to $(f^{-1}N, f^{-1}\beta)$ is called the *inverse image log structure* and is denoted f^*N. A morphism of log schemes $f : (X, M, \alpha) \to (Y, N, \beta)$ is *strict* if the induced morphism $f^*N \to M$ is an isomorphism (if X is integral, this is equivalent to say that $\overline{N}_{f(\bar{x})} \to \overline{M}_{\bar{x}}$ is an isomorphism for every geometric point $\bar{x}$ of X).

If P is a monoid the log structure on $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[P]$ associated to the pre-log structure defined by $P \to \mathbf{Z}[P]$ is called the canonical log structure. There is a canonical morphism $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[P] \to \operatorname{Spec} P$ which maps a prime ideal I of $\mathbf{Z}[P]$ to $I \cap P$.
A (global) *chart* modeled on a monoid P of a log scheme X is a morphism from the constant sheaf $P_X \to M_X$ inducing an isomorphism on the associated log structures. This also amounts to giving a strict morphism $X \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[P]$, where $\mathbf{Z}[P]$ is endowed with its canonical log structure.
If $\bar{x}$ is a geometric point, an integral chart $P \to M$ is *exact at* $\bar{x}$ (resp. *good at* $\bar{x}$) if $\overline{P} \to \overline{M}_{\bar{x}}$ (resp. $P \to \overline{M}_{\bar{x}}$) is an isomorphism.
A log scheme is *fine* (resp. *fine and saturated* or fs for short) if it is integral and, locally for the étale topology, it admits a chart modeled on a finitely generated and integral (resp. finitely generated and saturated) monoid.
We will mainly work in the category of fs log schemes. There are fiber products in this category, but in general, taking the underlying scheme does not commute with fiber products.
If $X \to \operatorname{Spec} P$ is an fs chart and $\overline{x}$ is a geometric point of X which maps to $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} P$ and let $F = P \backslash \mathfrak{p}$, then $P \to M_{\bar{x}}$ induces an isomorphism $\overline{F^{-1}P} \to \overline{M}_{\bar{x}}$. Moreover $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[F^{-1}P] \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[P]$ is an open embedding corresponding to the preimage of $D(\mathfrak{p}) = \{\mathfrak{p}' | \mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{p}'\} \subset \operatorname{Spec} P$. Thus $F^{-1}P$ induces an exact chart of a Zariski neighborhood of $\overline{x}$. But one can then choose some decomposition $F^{-1}P = \overline{F^{-1}P} \oplus (F^{-1}P)^*$, and the induced morphism $\overline{F^{-1}P} \to F^{-1}P \to M_X$ is a good chart at $\overline{x}$.

Sometimes, we may have to use log structures on the Zariski site. Let $\epsilon : X_{\text{Zar}} \to X_{\text{ét}}$ the natural projection. We will say that a log structure M on X is *Zariski* (and the log scheme X is *log Zariski*) if $\epsilon^* \epsilon_* M \to M$ is an isomorphism. If X is an fs log scheme, the log structure is Zariski if and only if it has fs charts locally on the Zariski topology. In particular any fs log scheme is étale locally log Zariski.

If $f : X \to Y$ is a morphism of fine log schemes, a *chart* of f is given by a chart $X \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[P]$, a chart $Y \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[Q]$ and a morphism $Q \to P$ such that the corresponding square of log schemes commute. Any morphism of fine log schemes has charts étale locally.

A morphism of fine log schemes $f : X \to Y$ is *log smooth* (resp. *log étale*) if étale locally on X and Y, f admits a chart $u : Q \to P$ such that the kernel and the torsion part of the cokernel (resp. the kernel and the cokernel) of u^{gp} are finite groups of order invertible on X and $X \to Y \times_{\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[Q]} \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[P]$ is étale. There are valuative characterizations of log étale and log smooth morphisms. Log étale and log smooth morphisms are stable under base change and composition.

A morphism $h : Q \to P$ of fs monoids is *Kummer* (resp. $\mathbb{L}$-Kummer) if h is injective and for every $a \in P$, there exists an integer (an $\mathbb{L}$-integer) n such that $na \in h(Q)$ (note that if $Q \to P$ is Kummer, $\operatorname{Spec} P \to \operatorname{Spec} Q$ is an homeomorphism).
A morphism $f : X \to Y$ of fs log schemes is said to be *Kummer* (resp. *exact*) if for every geometric point $\bar{x}$ of X, $\overline{M}_{Y,f(\bar{x})} \to \overline{M}_{X,\bar{x}}$ is Kummer (resp. exact).
A Kummer morphism $X \to Y$ of fs log schemes is a *Kummer universal homeomorphism* (or *kuh* for short) if the underlying map of schemes is an homeomorphism after any fs base change ([23, def. 2.1]).
A Kummer morphism $q : X \to Y$ is kuh if and only if $\mathring{q}$ is a universal homeomorphism (*i.e.* is integral, radicial and surjective) and for any geometric point $\bar{x}$ of X, $\overline{M}_{Y,q(\bar{x})} = \overline{M}_{X,\bar{x}}$ is p-Kummer, where p is the residual characteristic of $\bar{x}$ ([23, thm. 2.7]).
For example, if $P \to Q$ is a p-Kummer morphism of fs monoid, and A is a ring of characteristic p, then $\operatorname{Spec} A[Q] \to \operatorname{Spec} A[P]$ is kuh.

An fs log scheme X is *log regular* if for every geometric point $\bar{x}$, $O_{X,\bar{x}}/I_{\bar{x}}O_{X,\bar{x}}$ is regular and $\dim(O_{X,\bar{x}}) = \dim(O_{X,\bar{x}}/I_{\bar{x}}O_{X,\bar{x}}) + \operatorname{rk}(\overline{M}_{\bar{x}}^{\mathrm{gp}})$ where $I_{\bar{x}}$ is the ideal of $O_{X,\bar{x}}$ generated by the image of $M_{\bar{x}} \backslash O_{X,\bar{x}}^*$ ([18, def. 2.2]).
Log Zariski log regular log schemes are studied in [14].
If X is log regular, $\mathring{X}$ is normal. The subset $X_{\mathrm{tr}} = \{x, \overline{M}_{\bar{x}} = \{1\}\}$ of X is a dense open subset of X and

$$M = O_X \cap j_* O_{X_{\mathrm{tr}}}^*$$

where j is the open embedding $X_{\mathrm{tr}} \to X$ ([18, prop. 2.6]).
If Y is log regular and $X \to Y$ is log smooth, then X is log regular ([14, thm. 8.2]).

1.2.2 Két coverings

A morphism of fs log scheme is *Kummer étale* (or két for short) if it is Kummer and log étale.
A morphism f is két if and only if étale locally it is deduced by strict base change and étale localization from a map $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[P] \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[Q]$ induced by a Kummer map $Q \to P$ such that $nP \subset Q$ for some n invertible on X.
In fact if $f : Y \to X$ is két, $\bar{y}$ is a geometric point of Y, and $P \to M_X$ is an exact chart of X at $f(\bar{y})$, there is an étale neighborhood U of $\bar{x}$ and a Zariski open neighborhood $V \subset f^{-1}(U)$ of $\bar{y}$ such that $V \to U$ is isomorphic to $U \times_{\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[P]} \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[Q]$ with $P \to Q$ a $\mathbb{L}$-Kummer morphism where $\mathbb{L}$ is the set of primes invertible on U ([22, Prop. 3.1.4]).
Két morphisms are open and quasi-finite.

The category of két fs log schemes over X (any X-morphism between two such fs log schemes is then két) where the covering families $(T_i \to T)$ of T are

the families that are set-theoretical covering families (being a set-theoretical covering két family is stable under fs base change) is a site. We will denote by $X_{\text{két}}$ the corresponding topos.
Any locally constant finite object of $X_{\text{két}}$ is representable. A két fs log scheme over X that represents such a locally constant finite sheaf will be called a *két covering* of X. We will denote by $\mathrm{KCov}(X)$ the category of két coverings of $X_{\text{két}}$.
A log geometric point is a log scheme s such that $\mathring{s}$ is the spectrum of a separably closed field k such that M_s is saturated and multiplication by n on $\overline{M}_s$ is an isomorphism for every n prime to the characteristic of k.
A log geometric point of X is a morphism $x : s \to X$ of log schemes where s is a log geometric point. A két neighborhood U of s in X is a morphism $s \to U$ of X-log schemes where $U \to X$ is két. Then if x is a log geometric point of X, the functor F_x from $X_{\text{két}}$ to Set defined by $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \varinjlim_U \mathcal{F}(U)$ where U runs through the directed category of két neighborhoods of x is a point of the topos $X_{\text{két}}$ and any point of this topos is isomorphic to F_x for some log geometric point and they make a conservative system.
One also defines the log strict localization $X(x)$ to be the inverse limit in the category of saturated log schemes of the két neighborhoods of x. If x and y are log geometric points of x, a *specialization* of log geometric points $x \to y$ is a morphism $X(x) \to X(y)$ over X.
A specialization $x \to y$ induces a canonical morphism $F_x \to F_y$ of functors.
If there is a specialization $x \to y$ of the underlying topological points, then there is some specialization $x \to y$ of log geometric points.
If X is connected, for any log geometric point x of X, F_x induces a fundamental functor $\mathrm{KCov}(X) \to \mathrm{fSet}$ of the Galois category $\mathrm{KCov}(X)$.
One then denotes by $\pi_1^{\log}(X, x)$ the profinite group of automorphisms of this fundamental functor.

Strict étale surjective morphisms satisfy effective descent for két coverings ([22, prop. 3.2.19]).
If $f : S' \to S$ is an exact morphism of fs log schemes such that $\mathring{f}$ is proper, surjective and of finite presentation, then f satisfies effective descent for két coverings ([22, th. 3.2.25]).

If X is a log regular fs log scheme, and all the primes of $\mathbb{L}$ are invertible on X, then $\mathrm{KCov}(X)^{\mathbb{L}} \to \mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{alg}}(X_{\mathrm{tr}})^{\mathbb{L}}$ is an equivalence of categories ([11, th. 7.6]).

Theorem 1.3 ([20, cor. 2.3]). *Let S be a strictly local scheme with closed point s, and let X be a connected fs log scheme such that $\mathring{X}$ is proper over S. Then*

$$\mathrm{KCov}(X) \to \mathrm{KCov}(X_s)$$

is an equivalence of categories.

If $q : X \to Y$ is kuh, $q^* : Y_{\text{két}} \to X_{\text{két}}$ is an equivalence of categories ([23, th. 0.1])

1.2.3 Saturated morphisms

A morphism of fs monoids $P \to Q$ is *integral* if, for any morphism of integral monoids $P \to Q'$, the amalgamated sum $Q \oplus_P Q'$ is still integral.
A integral morphism of fs monoids $P \to Q$ is *saturated* if, for any morphism of fs monoids $P \to Q'$, the amalgamated sum $Q \oplus_P Q'$ is still fs.
If $f : P \to Q$ is saturated and F' is a face of Q, $\overline{F^{-1}P} \to \overline{F'^{-1}Q}$ is also saturated where $F = f^{-1}(F')$.

Lemma 1.4. *If $\phi : P \to Q$ is an integral (resp. saturated) morphism of fs monoids and F' is a face of Q, let $F = \phi^{-1}(F')$. Then $F \to F'$ is also integral (resp. saturated).*

Proof. To prove that $F \to F'$ is integral, thanks to [19, prop. I.4.3.11], one only has to prove that if $f'_1, f'_2 \in F'$ and $f_1, f_2 \in F$ are such that $f'_1\phi(f_1) = f'_2\phi(f_2)$, there are $g' \in F'$ and $g_1, g_2 \in F$ such that $f'_1 = g'\phi(g_1)$ and $f'_2 = g'\phi(g_2)$.
But there exists $g' \in Q$ and $g_1, g_2 \in P$ that satisfies those properties since $P \to Q$ is integral. But, since F' is a face of Q, $g', \phi(g_1), \phi(g_2)$ must be in F', and thus g_1 and g_2 are in F.
Thanks to a criterion of T. Tsuji, an integral morphism of fs monoids $f : P_0 \to Q_0$ is saturated if and only if for any $a \in P_0, b \in Q_0$ and any prime number p such that $f(a)|b^p$, there exists $c \in P_0$ such that $a|c^p$ and $f(c)|b$. Let $a \in F, b \in F'$ and p be a prime such that $\phi(a)|b^p$. Then since $\phi : P \to Q$ is saturated, there exists $c \in P$ such that $a|c^p$ and $f(c)|b$. But $f(c)|b$ implies that $f(c) \in F'$, whence $c \in F$. □

A morphism $f : Y \to X$ of fs log schemes is *saturated* if for any geometric point $\bar{y}$ of Y, $\bar{M}_{X,f(\bar{y})} \to \bar{M}_{Y,\bar{y}}$ is saturated.
If $Y \to X$ is saturated and $Z \to X$ is a morphism of fs log schemes, then the underlying scheme of $Z \times_X Y$ is $\mathring{Z} \times_{\mathring{X}} \mathring{Y}$.

If $P \to Q$ is a local and integral (resp. saturated) morphism of fs monoids and P is sharp, the morphism $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[Q] \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[P]$ is flat (resp. separable, *i.e.* flat with geometrically reduced fibers, cf. [19, cor. 4.3.16] and [12, rem. 6.3.3]).
Let $f : X \to Y$ be log smooth and $\bar{x}$ be a geometric point of X. Etale locally on Y, there is a good chart $Y \to \operatorname{Spec} P$ at $\bar{y}$. Then, thanks to [12, prop. A.3.1.1], there is étale locally at x a chart $P \to Q$ of $Y \to X$ such that $Y \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[Q] \times_{\mathbf{Z}[P]} X$ is étale and $X \to \operatorname{Spec} Q$ is exact at x. Thus if f is integral (resp. saturated), $P \to Q$ is a local and integral (resp. saturated) morphism of fs monoids and P is sharp. Thus f is flat (resp. separable).

If $P \to Q$ is an integral morphism of fs monoids, there exists an integer n such that the pullback $P_n \to Q'$ of $P \to Q$ along $P \xrightarrow{n} P = P_n$ is saturated (theorem [12, A.4.2]).
Moreover if $P \to Q$ factors through Q_0 such that $P \to Q_0$ is saturated and $Q_0 \to Q$ is $\mathbb{L}$-Kummer, n can be chosen to be an $\mathbb{L}$-integer.
Thus, if $Z'' \to Z'$ is a két covering and $Z' \to Z$ is saturated, log smooth and proper, then for any log geometric point z of Z there is a két neighborhood U of z such that $Z''_U \to U$ is saturated (and all the properness assumptions can

be removed and replaced by the quasicompactness of Z'' if Z is just an fs log point, *i.e.* its underlying scheme is the spectrum of a field).

1.2.4 Specialisation of log fundamental groups

Let $X \to S$ be a proper and saturated morphism of log schemes, and let $Y \to X$ be a két covering. Let s and s' be two points of S and assume that one has a specialization $\bar{s}' \to \bar{s}$ (where $\bar{s}$ and $\bar{s}'$ are some log geometric points over s and s').
Let Z be the strictly local scheme of S at s endowed with the inverse image log structure, and let z be its closed point, endowed with the inverse image log structure.
One has the following arrows (defined up to inner homomorphisms):

$$\pi_1^{\text{log-geom}}(Y_s/s)^{(p')} \to \pi_1^{\text{log-geom}}(Y_z/z)^{(p')} \overset{\simeq}{\to} \pi_1^{\text{log-geom}}(Y_Z/Z)^{(p')} \leftarrow \pi_1^{\text{log-geom}}(Y_{s'}/s')^{(p')}$$

where the second homomorphism are isomorphisms according to theorem 1.3. The first one is an isomorphism according to [16, cor. 2.6].

Theorem 1.5 ([16, cor. 2.7])**.** *One has a specialization morphism*

$$\pi_1^{\text{log-geom}}(Y_{s'}/s')^{(p')} \to \pi_1^{\text{log-geom}}(Y_s/s)^{(p')}$$

that factors through $\pi_1^{\text{log-geom}}(Y_Z/Z)^{(p')}$.

1.3 Skeleton of a Berkovich space with pluristable reduction

Let K be a complete nonarchimedean field and let O_K be its ring of integers. If $\mathfrak{X}$ is a locally finitely presented formal scheme over O_K, $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$ will denote the generic fiber of $\mathfrak{X}$ in the sense of Berkovich ([4, section 1]).
Recall the definition of a polystable morphism of formal schemes:

Definition 1.2 ([5, def. 1.2], [6, section 4.1])**.** Let $\phi : \mathfrak{Y} \to \mathfrak{X}$ be a locally finitely presented morphism of formal schemes over O_K.

(i) ϕ is said to be ***strictly polystable*** if, for every point $y \in \mathfrak{Y}$, there exists an open affine neighborhood $\mathfrak{X}' = \mathrm{Spf}(A)$ of $x := \phi(y)$ and an open neighborhood $\mathfrak{Y}' \subset \phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{X}')$ of x such that the induced morphism $\mathfrak{Y}' \to \mathfrak{X}'$ factors through an étale morphism $\mathfrak{Y}' \to \mathrm{Spf}(B_0) \times_{\mathfrak{X}'} \cdots \times_{\mathfrak{X}'} \mathrm{Spf}(B_p)$ where each B_i is of the form $A\{T_0, \cdots, T_{n_i}\}/(T_0 \cdots T_{n_i} - a_i)$ with $a \in A$ and $n \geq 0$. It is said to be *nondegenerate* if one can choose X', Y' and (B_i, a_i) such that $\{x \in (\mathrm{Spf}(A)_\eta) | a_i(x) = 0\}$ is nowhere dense.

(ii) ϕ is said to be ***polystable*** if there exists a surjective étale morphism $\mathfrak{Y}' \to \mathfrak{Y}$ such that $\mathfrak{Y}' \to \mathfrak{X}$ is strictly polystable. It is said to be *nondegenerate* if one can choose $\mathfrak{Y}'$ such that $\mathfrak{Y}' \to \mathfrak{X}$ is nondegenerate.

Then a (*nondegenerate*) ***polystable fibration*** of length l over $\mathfrak{S}$ is a sequence of (nondegenerate) polystable morphisms $\underline{\mathfrak{X}} = (\mathfrak{X}_l \to \cdots \to \mathfrak{X}_1 \to \mathfrak{S})$.
Then $K\text{-}\mathcal{P}stf_l^{\text{ét}}$ will denote the category of polystable fibrations of length l over

O_K, where a morphism $\underline{\mathfrak{X}} \to \underline{\mathfrak{Y}}$ is a collection of étale morphisms $(\mathfrak{X}_i \to \mathfrak{Y}_i)_{1 \leq i \leq l}$ which satisfies the natural commutation assumptions.
$Pstf_l^{\text{ét}}$ will denote the category of couples $(\underline{\mathfrak{X}}, K_1)$ where K_1 is a complete non archimedean field and $\underline{\mathfrak{X}}$ is a polystable fibration over O_{K_1}, and a morphism $(\underline{\mathfrak{X}}, K_1) \to (\underline{\mathfrak{Y}}, K_2)$ is a couple (ϕ, ψ) where ϕ is an isometric extension $K_2 \to K_1$ and ψ is a morphism $\underline{\mathfrak{X}} \to \underline{\mathfrak{Y}} \otimes_{O_{K_2}} O_{K_1}$ in K_1-$\mathcal{P}stf_l^{\text{ét}}$.

Let k be a field.
Let X be a k-scheme locally of finite type.
The normal locus $\text{Norm}(X^{\text{red}})$ is a dense open subset of X. Let us define inductively $X^{(0)} = X^{\text{red}}$, $X^{(i+1)} = X^{(i)} \backslash \text{Norm}(X^{(i)})$. The irreducible components of $X^{(i)} \backslash X^{(i+1)}$ are called the strata of X (of rank i). This gives a partition of X. The set of the generic points of the strata of X is denoted by $\text{Str}(X)$ (There is a natural bijection with the set of strata of X).
Berkovich defines another filtration $X = X_{(0)} \subset X_{(1)} \subset \cdots$ such that $X_{(i+1)}$ is the closed subset of points contained in at least two irreducible components of $X_{(i)}$. X is said to be *quasinormal* if all of the irreducible components of each $X_{(i)}$, endowed with the reduced subscheme structure, is normal (this property is local for the Zariski topology and remains true after étale morphisms). If X is quasinormal, then $X_{(i)} = X^{(i)}$. X is quasinormal if and only if the closure of every stratum is normal.
There is a natural partial order on $\text{Str}(X)$ defined by $x \leqslant y$ if and only if $y \in \overline{\{x\}}$.

Berkovich defines *polysimplicial sets* in [5, section 3] as follows.
For an integer n, let $[n]$ denote $\{0, 1, \cdots, n\}$.
For a tuple $\mathbf{n} = (n_0, \cdots, n_p)$ with either $p = n_0 = 0$ or $n_i \geq 1$ for all i, let $[\mathbf{n}]$ denote the set $[n_0] \times \cdots \times [n_p]$ and $w(\mathbf{n})$ denote the number p.
Berkovich defines a category $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ whose objects are $[\mathbf{n}]$ and morphisms are maps $[\mathbf{m}] \to [\mathbf{n}]$ associated with triples (J, f, α), where:

- J is a subset of $[w(\mathbf{m})]$ assumed to be empty if $[\mathbf{m}] = [0]$,
- f is an injective map $J \to [w(\mathbf{n})]$,
- α is a collection $\{\alpha_l\}_{0 \leq l \leq p}$, where α_l is an injective map $[m_{f^{-1}(l)}] \to [n_l]$ if $l \in \text{Im}(f)$, and α_l is a map $[0] \to [n_l]$ otherwise.

The map $\gamma : [\mathbf{m}] \to [\mathbf{n}]$ associated with (J, f, α) takes $\mathbf{j} = (j_0, \cdots, j_{w(m)}) \in [m]$ to $\mathbf{i} = (i_0, \cdots, i_{w(\mathbf{n})})$ with $i_l = \alpha_l(j_{f^{-1}(l)})$ for $l \in \text{Im}(f)$, and $i_l = \alpha_l(0)$ otherwise.
A polysimplicial set is a functor $\mathbf{\Lambda}^{\text{op}} \to \text{Set}$. Polysimplicial sets form a category denoted by $\mathbf{\Lambda}^{\circ}\,\text{Set}$.
One considers $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ as a full subcategory of $\mathbf{\Lambda}^{\circ}\,\text{Set}$ by the Yoneda functor. If C is a polysimplicial set $\mathbf{\Lambda}/\,\text{C}$ is the category whose objects are morphisms $[\mathbf{n}] \to \text{C}$ in $\mathbf{\Lambda}^{\circ}\,\text{Set}$ and morphisms from $[\mathbf{n}] \to \text{C}$ to $[\mathbf{m}] \to \text{C}$ are morphisms $[\mathbf{n}] \to [\mathbf{m}]$ that make the triangle commute.
A polysimplex x of a polysimplicial set C is said to be *degenerate* if there is a non isomorphic surjective map f of $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ such that x is the image by f of a polysimplex of C. Let $\text{C}_{\mathbf{n}}^{\text{nd}}$ be the subset of non degenerate polysimplices of $\text{C}_{\mathbf{n}}$. Thanks to an analog of Eilenberg-Zilber lemma for polysimplicial sets ([5, lem. 3.2]), a morphism $\text{C}' \to \text{C}$ is bijective if and only if it maps non degenerate

polysimplices to nondegenerate polysimplices and $(\mathrm{C}')^{\mathrm{nd}}_{\mathbf{n}} \to \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{nd}}_{\mathbf{n}}$ is bijective for any $\mathbf{n}$.

There is a functor $O : \mathbf{\Lambda}^{\circ}\,\mathrm{Set} \to \mathrm{Poset}$ where $O(\mathrm{C})$ is the partially ordered set associated to $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{\Lambda}/\,\mathrm{C})$ endowed with the preorder where $x \leq y$ if there is a morphism $x \to y$ in $\mathbf{\Lambda}/\,\mathrm{C}$. As a set, $O(\mathrm{C})$ coincides with the set of equivalence classes of nondegenerate polysimplices.

A polysimplicial set C is said *interiorly free* if $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{n})$ acts freely on $\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{nd}}_{\mathbf{n}}$. If $\mathrm{C}_1 \to \mathrm{C}_2$ is a morphism of polysimplicial sets mapping nondegenerate polysimplices to nondegenerate polysimplices such that $O(\mathrm{C}_1) \to O(\mathrm{C}_2)$ is an isomorphism and C_2 is interiorly free, then $C_1 \to \mathrm{C}_2$ is an isomorphism.

Berkovich also defines a *strictly polysimplicial category* Λ whose objects are those of $\mathbf{\Lambda}$, but with only injective morphisms between them. The functor $\Lambda \to \mathbf{\Lambda} \to \mathbf{\Lambda}^{\circ}\,\mathrm{Set}$ extends to a functor $\Lambda^{\circ}\,\mathrm{Set} \to \mathbf{\Lambda}^{\circ}\,\mathrm{Set}$ which commutes with direct limits (the objects of $\mathbf{\Lambda}^{\circ}\,\mathrm{Set}$ will be called *strictly polysimplicial sets*).

Berkovich then considers a functor $\Sigma : \mathbf{\Lambda} \to \mathcal{K}e$ to the category of Kelley spaces, *i.e.* topological spaces X such that a subset of X is closed whenever its intersection with any compact subset of X is closed. This functor takes $[\mathbf{n}]$ to $\Sigma_{\mathbf{n}} = \{(u_{il})_{0\leq i\leq p, 0\leq l\leq n_i} \in [0,1]^{[\mathbf{n}]} \,|\, \sum_l u_{il} = 1\}$, and takes a map γ associated to (J, f, α) to $\Sigma(\gamma)$ that maps $\mathbf{u} = (u_{jk})$ to $\mathbf{u}' = (u'_{il})$ defined as follows: if $[\mathbf{m}] \neq [0]$ and $i \notin \mathrm{Im}(f)$ or $[\mathbf{m}] = [0]$ then $u'_{il} = 1$ for $l = \alpha_i(0)$ and $u'_{il} = 0$ otherwise; if $[\mathbf{m}] \neq [0]$ and $i \in \mathrm{Im}(f)$, then $u'_{il} = u_{f^{-1}(i),\alpha_i^{-1}(l)}$ for $l \in \mathrm{Im}(\alpha_i)$ and $u'_{il} = 0$ otherwise.

This induces a functor, the *geometric realization*, $|\ | : \mathbf{\Lambda}^{\circ}\,\mathrm{Set} \to \mathcal{K}e$ (by extending Σ in such a way that it commutes with direct limits).

There is also a bifunctor $\square : \mathbf{\Lambda}^{\circ}\,\mathrm{Set} \times \mathbf{\Lambda}^{\circ}\,\mathrm{Set} \to \Lambda^{\circ}\,\mathrm{Set}$ which commutes with direct limits and defined by $[(n_0, \cdots, n_p)] \square [(n'_0, \cdots, n'_{p'})] = [(n_0, \cdots, n_p, n'_0, \cdots, n'_{p'})]$. Thus $|\,\mathrm{C} \square \mathrm{C}'\,| = |\,\mathrm{C}\,| \times |\,\mathrm{C}'\,|$ (where the product on the right is the product of Kelley spaces).

If X is strictly polystable over k and $x \in \mathrm{Str}(X)$, $\mathrm{Irr}(X,x)$ will denote the metric space of irreducible components of X passing through x where $d(X_1, X_2) = \mathrm{codim}_x(X_1 \cap X_2)$. Then there is a tuple $[\mathbf{n}]$ such that $\mathrm{Irr}(X,x)$ is bijectively isometric to $[\mathbf{n}]$, and if $[\mathbf{m}] \to [\mathbf{n}]$ is isometric, there exists a unique $y \in \mathrm{Str}(X)$ with $y \leqslant x$ and a unique isometric bijection $[\mathbf{m}] \to \mathrm{Irr}(X,y)$ such that

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{[\mathbf{n}]} \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Irr}(X,x) \\
{[\mathbf{m}]} \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & \mathrm{Irr}(X,y) \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes.

The functor which to $[\mathbf{n}]$ associates the set of couples (x,μ) where $x \in \mathrm{Str}(X)$ and μ is a isometric bijection $[\mathbf{n}] \to \mathrm{Irr}(X,x)$ defines a strict polysimplicial set $C(X)$ (and thus a polysimplicial set $\mathrm{C}(X)$).

There is a functorial isomorphism of partially ordered sets $O(\mathrm{C}(X)) \simeq \mathrm{Str}(X)$.

Proposition 1.6 ([5, prop. 3.14]). *One has a functor* $\mathrm{C} : \mathcal{P}st^{\mathrm{sm}} \to \Lambda^{\circ}\,\mathrm{Set}$*, such that* $\mathrm{C}(X)$ *is as previously defined if* X *is strictly polystable and, for every étale surjective morphism* $X' \to X$*:*

$$\mathrm{C}(X) = \mathrm{Coker}(\mathrm{C}(X' \times_X X') \rightrightarrows \mathrm{C}(X')).$$

This functor extends to a functor C for strictly polystable fibrations over K of length l.
Let us assume we already constructed C for strictly polystable fibrations of length $l-1$ such that $O(\mathrm{C}(\underline{X})) = \mathrm{Str}(X_{l-1})$. Let $\underline{X} : X_l \to X_{l-1} \to \cdots \to \operatorname{Spec} k$ be a strictly polystable fibration, and let $\underline{X}_{l-1} : X_{l-1} \to \cdots \to \operatorname{Spec} k$. Then for every $x' \leqslant x \in \mathrm{Str}(X_{l-1})$, one has:

Lemma 1.7 ([5, cor.6.2])**.** *There is a canonical cospecialization functor* $\mathrm{C}(X_{l,x}) \to \mathrm{C}(X_{l,x'})$ *and if* $x \leqslant x' \leqslant x''$*, the functor* $\mathrm{C}(X_{l,x}) \to \mathrm{C}(X_{l,x''})$ *coincides with the composition* $\mathrm{C}(X_{l,x}) \to \mathrm{C}(X_{l,x'}) \to \mathrm{C}(X_{l,x''})$*.*

This extends to a functor

$$D : (\mathbf{\Lambda}/(\mathrm{C}(\underline{X}_{l-1})))^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathrm{Str}(X_{l-1}) \to \mathbf{\Lambda}^{\circ}\,\mathrm{Set}\,.$$

Berkovich then defines a polysimplicial set (where we set $\mathrm{C} = \mathrm{C}(\underline{X}_{l-1})$):

$$\mathrm{C}(\underline{X}) = \mathrm{C} \,\square\, D = \mathrm{Coker}\Big(\coprod_{N_1(\mathbf{\Lambda}/\,\mathrm{C})} \mathbf{\Lambda}[\mathbf{n}_y] \,\square\, D_x \rightrightarrows \coprod_{N_0(\mathbf{\Lambda}/\,\mathrm{C})} \mathbf{\Lambda}[\mathbf{n}_x] \,\square\, D_x\Big).$$

This construction extends to (non necessarily strictly) polystable fibrations:

Proposition 1.8 ([5, prop 6.9])**.** *There is a functor* $\mathrm{C} : \mathcal{P}st_l^{\mathrm{tps}} \to \mathbf{\Lambda}\,\mathrm{Set}$ *such that:*

(i) for every étale surjective morphism of polystable fibrations $X' \to X$*:*

$$\mathrm{C}(X) = \mathrm{Coker}(\mathrm{C}(X' \times_X X') \rightrightarrows \mathrm{C}(X')).$$

(ii) $O(\mathrm{C}(\underline{X})) \simeq \mathrm{Str}(X)$*.*

Berkovich attaches to a polystable fibration $\underline{\mathfrak{X}} = (\mathfrak{X}_l \to \mathfrak{X}_{l-1} \to \cdots \to \mathrm{Spf}(O_K))$ a subset of the generic fiber $\mathfrak{X}_{l,\eta}$ of $\mathfrak{X}_l$, the *skeleton* $S(\underline{\mathfrak{X}})$ of $\underline{\mathfrak{X}}$, which is canonically homeomorphic to $|\,\mathrm{C}(\mathfrak{X}_s)|$ (see [5, th. 8.2]), and such that $\mathfrak{X}_{l,\eta}$ retracts by a proper strong deformation onto $S(\underline{\mathfrak{X}})$.

In fact, when $\underline{\mathfrak{X}}$ is non degenerate—for example generically smooth (we will only use the results of Berkovich to such polystable fibrations)—the skeleton of $\underline{\mathfrak{X}}$ depends only on $\mathfrak{X}_l$ according to [6, prop. 4.3.1.(ii)]; such a formal scheme that fits into a polystable fibration will be called *pluristable*, and we will note $S(\mathfrak{X}_l)$ this skeleton.
In this case [6, prop. 4.3.1.(ii)] gives a description of $S(\mathfrak{X}_l)$, which is independant of the retraction. For any $x, y \in \mathfrak{X}_{l,\eta}$, we write $x \preceq y$ if for every étale morphism $\mathfrak{X}' \to \mathfrak{X}_l$ and any x' over x, there exists y' over y such that for any $f \in O(\mathfrak{X}_\eta)$, $|f(x')| \leq |f(y')|$ ($\preceq$ is a partial order on $\mathfrak{X}_{l,\eta}$). Then $S(\mathfrak{X}_l)$ is just the set of maximal points of $\mathfrak{X}_{l,\eta}$ for $\preceq$.

The retraction to $S(\underline{\mathfrak{X}})$ commutes with étale morphisms:

Theorem 1.9 ([5, th. 8.1])**.** *One can construct, for every polystable fibration* $\underline{\mathfrak{X}} = (\mathfrak{X}_l \overset{f_{l-1}}{\to} \cdots \overset{f_1}{\to} \mathfrak{X}_1 \to \mathrm{Spf}(O_K))$*, a proper strong deformation retraction* $\Phi^l : \mathfrak{X}_{l,\eta} \times [0, l] \to \mathfrak{X}_{l,\eta}$ *of* $\mathfrak{X}_{l,\eta}$ *onto the skeleton* $S(\underline{\mathfrak{X}})$ *of* $\underline{\mathfrak{X}}$ *such that:*

(i) $S(\underline{\mathfrak{X}}) = \bigcup_{x \in S(\underline{\mathfrak{X}}_{l-1})} S(\mathfrak{X}_{l,x})$ *(set-theoretic disjoint union), where* $\underline{\mathfrak{X}}_{l-1} = (\mathfrak{X}_{l-1} \to \cdots \to \mathrm{Spf}(O_K))$*;*

(ii) if $\phi : \mathfrak{Y} \to \mathfrak{X}$ *is a morphism of fibrations in* $\mathcal{P}stf_l^{\text{ét}}$*, one has* $\phi_{l,\eta}(y_t) = \phi_{l,\eta}(y)_t$ *for every* $y \in \mathfrak{Y}_{l,\eta}$*.*

Let us describe more precisely how the retraction is defined.

If $\mathfrak{X} = \mathrm{Spf}\, O_K\{P\}/(p_i - z_i)$ where P is isomorphic to $\oplus_{0 \le i \le p} \mathbf{N}^{n_i+1}$, $p_i = (1, \cdots, 1) \in \mathbf{N}^{n_i+1}$ and $z_i \in O_K$, let $\mathfrak{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$ be the formal multiplicative group $\mathrm{Spf}\, O_K\{T, \frac{1}{T}\}$ over O_K, let us denote for any n by ${\mathfrak{G}_{\mathrm{m}}}^{(n)}$ the kernel of the multiplication ${\mathfrak{G}_{\mathrm{m}}}^{n+1} \to \mathfrak{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$ and let $\mathfrak{G}$ be the formal completion of the identity in $\prod_i {\mathfrak{G}_{\mathrm{m}}}^{(n_i)}$ (it is a formal group). Then $\mathfrak{G}$ acts on $\mathfrak{X}$. $G = \mathfrak{G}_\eta$ acts then on $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$. G has canonical subgroups G_t for $t \in [0,1]$ defined by the inequalities $|T_{ij} - 1| \le t$ where T_{ij} are the coordinates in G, which is a quotient of $\prod_i {\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{m}}}^{n_i+1}$. G_t has a maximal point g_t.

Then for $x \in X$, $x_t = g_t * x$ defines the strong deformation (where $*$ is the multiplication defined in [3, § 5.2]).

If $\mathfrak{X}$ is étale over $\mathrm{Spf}\, O_K\{P\}/(p_i - z_i)$, the action of $\mathfrak{G}$ extends in a unique way to an action on X, and x_t is still defined by $g_t * x$. For any $\mathfrak{X}$ polystable over O_K, one has thus defined the strong deformation locally for the quasi-étale topology of $\mathfrak{X}_\eta^{\mathrm{an}}$, and Berkovich verifies that it indeed descends to a strong deformation on $\mathfrak{X}$.

For a polystable fibration $\mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{X}_{l-1} \to \cdots \to \mathrm{Spf}\, O_K$, we first assume $\mathfrak{X} = \mathrm{Spf}\, B \to \mathfrak{X}_{l-1} = \mathrm{Spf}\, A$ with $B = A\{P\}/(p_i - a_i)$ (this will be called a *standard* polystable morphism), one first retracts fiber by fiber on $S(\mathfrak{X}/\mathfrak{X}_{l-1})$, which are strictly polystable. The image obtained can be identified with $S = \{(x, \mathbf{r}_0, \cdots, \mathbf{r}_p) \in \mathfrak{X}_{l-1,\eta}, r_{i0} \cdots r_{in_i} = |a_i(x)|\}$, one then has a homotopy $\Psi : S \times [0,1] \to S$ by $\Psi(x, \mathbf{r}_0, \cdots, \mathbf{r}_p, t) = (x_t, \psi_{n_0}(\mathbf{r}_0, |a_0(x_t)|), \cdots, \psi_{n_p}(\mathbf{r}_p, |a_p(x_t)|))$, where ψ_n is some strong deformation of $[0,1]^{n+1}$ to $(1, \cdots, 1) \in [0,1]^{n+1}$ defined by Berkovich (we will just need that $\psi_n(r_i, t)_k^\lambda = \psi_n(r_i^\lambda, t^\lambda)_k$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}^{*+}$ and any $k \in [[0, n]]$) , and x_t is defined by the strong deformation of $\mathfrak{X}_{l-1,\eta}$.

If $\mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{X}' \to \mathfrak{X}_{l-1}$ is a geometrically elementary composition of an etale morphism and a standard polystable morphism, $S(\mathfrak{X}/\mathfrak{X}_{l-1}) \to S(\mathfrak{X}'/\mathfrak{X}_{l-1})$ is an isomorphism, so that we deform $\mathfrak{X}'$ fiber by fiber onto $S(\mathfrak{X}/\mathfrak{X}_{l-1})$, then we just do the same retraction as for $S(\mathfrak{X}'/\mathfrak{X}_{l-1})$. For an arbitrary polystable fibration $X \to \cdots \to O_K$, this defines the retraction locally for the quasi-étale topology of $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$, and Berkovich verifies that it descends to a deformation of X.

Berkovich deduces from (1.9.(ii)) the following corollary:

Corollary 1.10 ([5, cor. 8.5])**.** *Let* K' *be a finite Galois extension of* K *and let* $\underline{\mathfrak{X}}$ *be a polystable fibration over* $O_{K'}$ *with a normal generic fiber* $\mathfrak{X}_{l,\eta}$*. Suppose we are given an action of a finite group* G *on* $\underline{\mathfrak{X}}$ *over* O_K *and a Zariski open dense subset* U *of* $\mathfrak{X}_{l,\eta}$*. Then there is a strong deformation retraction of the Berkovich space* $G \backslash U$ *to a closed subset homeomorphic to* $G \backslash |\,\mathrm{C}(\underline{\mathfrak{X}})|$*.*

More precisely, in this corollary, the closed subset in question is the image of $S(\underline{\mathfrak{X}})$ (which is G-equivariant and contained in U) by $U \to G \backslash U$.

Theorem 1.9 also implies that the skeleton is functorial with respect to pluristable morphisms:

Proposition 1.11 ([6, prop. 4.3.2.(i)]). *If $\phi : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{Y}$ is a pluristable morphism between nondegenerate pluristable formal schemes over O_K, $\phi_\eta(S(\mathfrak{X})) \subset S(\mathfrak{Y})$.*

In fact, more precisely, from the construction of S, $S(\mathfrak{Y}) = \bigcup_{x \in S(\mathfrak{X})} S(\mathfrak{Y}_x)$.

2 Tempered fundamental group of a polystable log scheme

In this section we define a tempered fundamental group for a polystable fibration over a field, endowed with some compatible log structure (we will call this a polystable log fibration). To define our tempered fundamental group, we will need a notion of "topological covering" of a két covering Z of our polystable log fibration $X \to \cdots \to k$. To do this we will define for any Z a polysimplicial set $\mathrm{C}(Z)$ over the polysimplicial set $\mathrm{C}(X)$, functorially in Z. Thus if Z is a finite Galois covering of X with Galois group G, there is an action of G on $\mathrm{C}(Z)$ which defines an extension of groups:

$$1 \to \pi_1^{\mathrm{top}}(|\,\mathrm{C}(Z)|) \to \Pi_Z \to G \to 1.$$

Our tempered fundamental group will be the projective limits of Π_Z when Z runs through pointed Galois coverings of X.

2.1 Polystable log schemes

Let S be a fs log scheme.

Definition 2.1. A morphism $\phi : Y \to X$ of fs log schemes will be said:

- *standard nodal* if X has an fs chart $X \to \operatorname{Spec} P$ and Y is isomorphic to $X \times_{\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[P]} \mathbf{Z}[Q]$ with $Q = (P \oplus u\mathbf{N} \oplus v\mathbf{N})/(u + v = a)$ with $a \in P$.

- a *strictly plurinodal morphism of log schemes* if for every point $y \in Y$, there exists a Zariski open neighborhood X' of $\phi(y)$ and a Zariski open neighborhood Y' of y in $Y \times_X X'$ such that $Y' \to X'$ is a composition of strict étale morphisms and standard nodal morphisms.

- a *plurinodal morphism of log schemes* if, locally for the étale topology of X and Y, it is strictly plurinodal.

- a *strictly polystable morphism of log schemes* if for every point $y \in Y$, there exists a Zariski open neighborhood X' of $\phi(y)$, an fs chart $P \to A$ of the log structure of X' and a Zariski open neighborhood Y' of y in $Y \times_X X'$ such that $Y' \to Y$ factors through a strict étale morphism $Y' \to X' \times_{\mathbf{Z}[P]} \mathbf{Z}[Q]$ where $Q = (P \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p} < T_{i0}, \cdots, T_{in_i} >)/(T_{i0} + \cdots + T_{in_i} = a_i)$ with $a_i \in P$.

- a *polystable morphism of log schemes* if, locally for the étale topology of Y and X, it is a strict polystable morphism of log schemes.

A *polystable log fibration* (resp. *strictly polystable log fibration*) $\underline{X}$ over S of length l is a sequence of polystable (resp. strictly polystable) morphism of log schemes $X_l \to \cdots \to X_1 \to X_0 = S$.

A morphism of polystable log fibrations of length l $\underline{f} : \underline{X} \to \underline{Y}$ is given by morphisms $f_i : X_i \to Y_i$ of fs log schemes for every i such that the obvious diagram commutes.
A morphism $\underline{f}$ of polystable fibrations will be said két (resp. strict étale) if f_i is két (resp. strict étale) for all i.

A polystable (resp. strictly polystable) morphism of log schemes is plurinodal (resp. strictly plurinodal).
A plurinodal morphism is log smooth and saturated.

Remark. In the definition of strictly polystable morphisms of log schemes, if one chooses any other chart $P' \to O(X')$, then there is a Zariski open neighborhood X'' of x in X' such that $Y'' = Y' \times_{X'} X'' \to X''$ factors through a strict étale morphism $Y'' \to X'' \times_{\mathbf{Z}[P']} \mathbf{Z}[Q']$ where $Q' = (P' \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p} < T_{i0}, \cdots, T_{in_i} >)/(T_{i0} + \cdots + T_{in_i} = a'_i)$ with $a'_i \in P'$ (indeed, one can assume that X' is affine, so that $X' = \mathrm{Spec}(A)$. Let us choose a'_i that has the same image in $\overline{M}(X')$ as a_i, so that, in $M(X')$, $a'_i = a_i u_i$ with $u_i \in A^*$. Then one just changes for every i, T_{i0} by $T_{i0}u_i$).

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\phi : Y \to X$ be a plurinodal (resp. strictly plurinodal, resp. polystable, resp. strictly pluristable) morphism of schemes, such that Y has a log regular log structure M_X and ϕ is smooth over X_{tr}. Then $(Y, O_Y \cap j_* O^*_{Y_{X_{tr}}}) \to (X, M_X)$ is a plurinodal (resp. strictly plurinodal, resp. polystable, resp. strictly pluristable) morphism of log schemes.*

Proof. Let us prove it for the case of a stricly polystable morphism.
One can assume that $X = \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ has a chart $\psi : P \to A$ and that $Y = B_0 \times_X \cdots \times_X B_p$ with $B_i = \mathrm{Spec}\, A[T_{i0}, \cdots, T_{in_i}]/T_{i0} \cdots T_{in_i} - a_i$ with $a_i \in A$. Since ϕ is smooth over X_{tr}, a_i is invertible over X_{tr}, thus after multiplying a_i by an element of A^* (we can do that by also multiplying T_{i0} by this element), we may assume that $a_i = \psi(b_i)$ for some $b_i \in P$. Thus $Y = X \times_{\mathbf{Z}[P]} \mathbf{Z}[Q]$ where $Q = (P \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p} < T_{i0}, \cdots, T_{in_i} >)/(T_{i0} + \cdots + T_{in_i} = b_i)$ with $b_i \in P$. If we endow Y with the log structure M_Y associated with Q, $Y \to X$ becomes a strict polystable morphism of log schemes. In particular Y is log regular ([14, th. 8.2]). Since, the set of points of Y where M_Y is trivial is $Y_{X_{\mathrm{tr}}}$, $M_Y = O_Y \cap j_* O^*_{Y_{X_{\mathrm{tr}}}}$ according to [18, prop. 2.6]. □

2.2 Polysimplicial set of a két log scheme over a polystable log scheme

We will construct here the polysimplicial set of a két log scheme Z over a polystable scheme, with which Berkovich already associated a polysimplicial set. To do this we will study the stratification of an fs log scheme defined by $\mathrm{rk}(z) = \mathrm{rk}(\overline{M}^{\mathrm{gp}}_z)$, which corresponds to Berkovich stratification for plurinodal schemes, and we will show that étale locally a két morphism $X \to Y$ induces an isomorphism between the posets of the strata of X and Y. This will enables us to define the polysimplicial set of Z étale locally. We will then descend it so that it satisfies the same descent property as in proposition 1.8.

For a polystable (log) fibration $\underline{X} : X \to \cdots \to \mathrm{Spec}\, k$, Berkovich defines a polysimplicial set $\mathrm{C}(\underline{X})$. In this part we want to generalize this construction to

any két log scheme Z over X.
When $\underline{X}$ is strictly polystable, $\mathrm{C}(Z)$ will be defined so that for any stratum x of X with generic point $\tilde{x}$ and any object x' of $\mathbf{\Lambda}/\mathrm{C}(X)$ over x, the objects of $\mathbf{\Lambda}/\mathrm{C}(Z)$ above x' will be in natural bijection with the preimage of $\tilde{x}$ in Z.
When $\underline{X}$ is not assumed anymore to be strictly polystable, we define $\mathrm{C}(Z)$ by étale descent.

Let Z be an fs log scheme, one gets a stratification on Z by saying that a point z of Z is of rank r if $\mathrm{rk}^{\log}(z) = \mathrm{rk}(M_{\bar{z}}^{\mathrm{gp}}/\mathcal{O}_{\bar{z}}) = r$ (where $\bar{z}$ is some geometric point over z and where rk is the rank of an abelian group of finite type). The subset of points of Z such that the rank is $\leqslant r$ is an open subset of Z ([19, cor 2.3.5]). We thus get a good stratification.
The strata of rank r of Z are then the connected components of the subset of points z of order r. This is a partition of Z, and a strata of rank r is open in the closed subset of points x of rank $\geqslant r$. It is endowed with the reduced subscheme structure of Z.
The set of strata is partially ordered by $x \leqslant y$ if and only if $y \subset \bar{x}$. One denotes by $\mathrm{Str}_x(Z)$ the poset of strata below X.

If $f : Z' \to Z$ is a két morphism, then $\mathrm{rk}^{\log}(x) = \mathrm{rk}^{\log}(f(x))$, so the strata of Z' are the connected components of the preimages of the strata of Z.

Let Z be a plurinodal log scheme over some log point (k, M_k) of characteristic p and of rank r_0 and z is a point of Z.

$\mathrm{rk}^{\log}(z) = r_0 + \mathrm{rk}(z)$ where $\mathrm{rk}(z)$ is the codimension of the strata containing z in Z for the Berkovich stratification of plurinodal schemes. Thus the strata are the same for this stratification and the stratification of Berkovich.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $Z \to \operatorname{Spec} k$ be a plurinodal morphism of log schemes over a log point and $Z' \to Z$ be a két morphism. Then the strata of Z' (with the reduced scheme structure) are normal and thus irreducible .*

We will often denote abusively in the same way a stratum and its generic point.

Proof. One can prove that étale locally.
Let us assume that $Z \to \operatorname{Spec} k$ has an fs chart

$$\begin{tikzcd} Z \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \operatorname{Spec} P \arrow[d] \\ \operatorname{Spec} k \arrow[r] & \operatorname{Spec} M \end{tikzcd} .$$

Then, according to [19, prop II.2.3.2] the strata of Z correspond to the connected components of the preimage of the different points of $\operatorname{Spec} P$ (and thus of the faces of P) which are above the minimal point of $\operatorname{Spec} M$.
Let Q be a (p')-kummer monoid over P. According to [19, prop I.1.3.2], $\operatorname{Spec} Q \to \operatorname{Spec} P$ is bijective (to a face F of P corresponds the saturation

F_Q of F in Q).
Let thus F be a face of P and $\mathfrak{p}$ be the prime ideal corresponding to $P\backslash\mathfrak{p}$ (and F_Q and $\mathfrak{p}_Q$ be their saturation in Q).
Then the preimage of the closure of a point corresponding to F in $\operatorname{Spec} P$ by the map $\operatorname{Spec} k[P] \to \operatorname{Spec} P$ corresponds to the subscheme $\operatorname{Spec} k[P]/k[\mathfrak{p}]$ of $\operatorname{Spec} k[P]$ according to [19, I.3.2] (and this is the closure of the stratum $\operatorname{Str} F$ of $\operatorname{Spec} k[P]$ corresponding to F). But

$$\operatorname{Spec}(k[Q]/k[\mathfrak{p}_Q]) \to \operatorname{Spec}(k[Q]/(k[\mathfrak{p}]k[Q])) = \operatorname{Spec} k[Q] \times_{k[P]} \operatorname{Spec}(k[P]/k[\mathfrak{p}])$$

is just the reduced closed embedding (because $k[\mathfrak{p}_Q]/(k[\mathfrak{p}]k[Q])$ is a nilpotent ideal of $k[Q]/(k[\mathfrak{p}]k[Q])$). Thus the preimage of the closure of the stratum corresponding to F is the support of the closed subscheme $\operatorname{Spec}(k[Q]/k[\mathfrak{p}_Q])$.
Moreover, there are, according to [19, I.3.2], canonical isomorphisms of schemes $k[P]/k[\mathfrak{p}] \to k[F]$ and $k[Q]/k[\mathfrak{p}_Q] \to k[F_Q]$ (but the log structure on $k[F]$ is not the one induced by F) and the following commutative diagram of schemes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
k[P]/k[\mathfrak{p}] \arrow[r, phantom, "\simeq"] \arrow[d] & k[F] \arrow[d] \\
k[Q]/k[\mathfrak{p}_Q] \arrow[r, phantom, "\simeq"] & k[F_Q]
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $k[F] \to k[F_Q]$ is induced by the embedding of monoids $F \to F_Q$.
The preimage of F by $\operatorname{Spec} k[P] \to \operatorname{Spec} P$ (*i.e.* the stratum $\operatorname{Str} F$ of $\operatorname{Spec}(k[P])$) corresponds then to the open subset $\operatorname{Spec} k[F^{\mathrm{gp}}]$ of $\operatorname{Spec} k[F] \simeq \operatorname{Spec} k[P]/k[\mathfrak{p}]$.
The following cocartesian square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
k[F] \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & k[F^{\mathrm{gp}}] \arrow[d] \\
k[F_Q] \arrow[r] & k[F_Q^{\mathrm{gp}}]
\end{tikzcd}$$

is cocartesian. But $\operatorname{Spec} k[F_Q^{\mathrm{gp}}] \to \operatorname{Spec} k[F^{\mathrm{gp}}]$ is étale. Thus, $(\operatorname{Str} F \times_{\operatorname{Spec} k[P]} \operatorname{Spec} k[Q])^{\mathrm{red}} \to \operatorname{Str} F$ is étale.
By pulling back along $Z \to \operatorname{Spec} P$, we get that the morphism from a stratum of Z_Q to the corresponding stratum of Z is étale. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let $Z' \to Z$ be a két morphism and $Z \to \operatorname{Spec} k$ be a strictly plurinodal morphism of log schemes, then Z is quasinormal.*

Proof. We will show that the closure of the connected preimages of a stratum x of Z are normal. One can do that étale locally.

Let z be a point of Z'.
One can assume that Z' is connected and $Z' \to \operatorname{Spec} k$ have an fs chart exact at z

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Z' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \operatorname{Spec} P \arrow[d] \\
\operatorname{Spec} k \arrow[r] & \operatorname{Spec} M
\end{tikzcd},$$

such that $Z' \to \operatorname{Spec} k \times_{\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[M]} \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[P]$ is étale.
Then the preimages of the different points of $\operatorname{Spec} P$ (*i.e.* primes of P) all map to different strata of Z, since Z is strictly plurinodal.
Thus, the preimage of x is either empty or the preimage of $\mathfrak{p}$ for some prime $\mathfrak{p}$

in $\operatorname{Spec} P$.

$\operatorname{Spec} k \to \operatorname{Spec} k[M]$ is the closed embedding corresponding to the face M^* of M (and to the prime ideal $M \backslash M^*$). Thus it can be described as $\operatorname{Spec} k[M]/k[M \backslash M^*] \to \operatorname{Spec} k[M]$. We thus have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\operatorname{Spec} k[P]/k[P(M\backslash M^*)] \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \operatorname{Spec} k[P] \arrow[d] \\
\operatorname{Spec} k[M]/k[M\backslash M^*] \arrow[r] & \operatorname{Spec} k[M]
\end{tikzcd}$$

The strata of $\operatorname{Spec} k[P]/k[P(M\backslash M^*)]$ correspond bijectively to the prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}$ of P which contains $P(M\backslash M^*)$ and the closed subscheme which is the closure of $\operatorname{Spec} k[P]/k[\mathfrak{p}] \simeq \operatorname{Spec} k[F]$ (where F is the face $P\backslash\mathfrak{p}$). $\operatorname{Spec} k[F]$ is connected, and according to [19, prop I.3.3.1 (2)], since F is a saturated monoid (because P is a saturated monoid and F is a face of P), $\operatorname{Spec} k[F]$ is normal (thus irreducible, there is a single stratum above $F \in \operatorname{Spec} P$). The closures of the strata of $\operatorname{Spec} k \times_{k[M]} \operatorname{Spec} k[P]$ are thus normal too. □

One can then follow the proof of [5, lem 2.10] in the case of Z két over a strictly plurinodal log scheme:

Lemma 2.4. *Let $Z' \to Z$ an étale morphism with Z két over Z_0 and let $Z_0 \to k$ be a stricly plurinodal scheme. Let z' be a stratum of Z' of image z. Then the map $\operatorname{Str}_{z'}(Z') \to \operatorname{Str}_z(Z)$ is an isomorphism of posets.*

One can refine 2.4 by showing the result for $Z' \to Z$ két:

Lemma 2.5. *Let $Z \to Z'$ be a két morphism with Z' két over Z'' and let $Z'' \to k$ be a strictly plurinodal scheme. Let z be a stratum of Z of image z'. Then the map $\operatorname{Str}_z(Z) \to \operatorname{Str}_{z'}(Z')$ is an isomorphism of posets.*

Proof. It suffices to prove it when $Z' = Z''$, since if one knows the result for $Z' \to Z''$ and $Z \to Z''$, this implies the result for $Z \to Z'$.

Indeed, if $Z'_0 = \operatorname{Spec} k \times_{k[M]} \operatorname{Spec} k[P]$ and $Z_0 = \operatorname{Spec} k \times_{k[M]} \operatorname{Spec} k[Q]$ where $P \to Q$ is két, then the poset of strata of Z'_0 (resp.Z_0) is isomorphic to the poset of faces of P (resp. Q) which maps to the face M^* of M. But we already know that the usual map between the strata of Q and the strata of P is an isomorphism, hence the isomorphism $\operatorname{Str} Z'_0 \to \operatorname{Str} Z_0$, and a fortiori $\operatorname{Str}_{z_0}(Z_0) \to \operatorname{Str}_{z'_0}(Z'_0)$.
In the general case, locally in a Zariski neighborhood of z and of z', one has the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Z \arrow[d] & U \arrow[l] \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Z_0 \arrow[d] \\
Z' \arrow[r, phantom, "="] & Z' \arrow[r] & Z'_0
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the horizontal arrows are étale: they satisfy lemma 2.4. By the particular case studied before, one gets the result. □

Let us consider now a strictly polystable log fibration $\underline{X} : X \to X_{l-1} \to \cdots \to s$ where s is an fs log point.
If $f : Z \to X$ is két, one has a functor $D_Z = (\mathbf{\Lambda}/\operatorname{C}(\underline{X}))^{\mathrm{op}} \to \operatorname{Str}(X_s) \to$

Set which associates to a stratum of X the set of connected components of the preimage of the stratum (thanks to lemma 2.5). One may thus build a polysimplicial set $\mathrm{C}_{\underline{X}}(Z) = \mathrm{C}(\underline{X}) \square D_Z$ (we will often write $\mathrm{C}(Z)$ instead of $\mathrm{C}_{\underline{X}}(Z)$). This polysimplicial set is still interiorly free. Obviously, $O(\mathrm{C}(Z))$ is functorially isomorphic to $\mathrm{Str}(Z)$.

Remark. Let $\mathrm{C} \to \mathrm{C}'$ be a morphism of polysimplicial sets. Let $\alpha : S \to O(\mathrm{C})$ (resp. $\alpha' : S' \to O(\mathrm{C}')$) be a morphism of posets such that $S_{\leq x} \overset{\simeq}{\to} O(\mathrm{C}_{\leq \alpha x})$ (resp. $S'_{\leq x} \overset{\simeq}{\to} O(\mathrm{C}')_{\leq \alpha'(x)}$ for any x). One has functors $F : \mathbf{\Lambda}/\,\mathrm{C} \to O(\mathrm{C}) \to \mathrm{Set}$ (resp. $F' : \mathbf{\Lambda}/\,\mathrm{C}' \to O(\mathrm{C}') \to \mathrm{Set}$), which defines a polysimplicial set $D = F \square \mathrm{C}$ (resp. $D' = F' \square \mathrm{C}'$).
Then any morphism of posets $f : S \to S'$ such that

$$\begin{tikzcd} S \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & S' \arrow[d] \\ O(\mathrm{C}) \arrow[r] & O(\mathrm{C}') \end{tikzcd}$$

induces a unique morphism of polysimplicial sets $\underline{f} : D \to D'$ over $\mathrm{C} \to \mathrm{C}'$ such that $O(\underline{f}) = f$.
Thanks to this, to construct morphisms between the polysimplicial sets of kummer log schemes over strictly plurinodal log schemes, we will often be reduced to construct a morphism between the posets of strata.

If $\underline{X}' \to \underline{X}$ is a két morphism of polystable log fibrations, then $\mathrm{C}_{\underline{X}}(X'_l)$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathrm{C}(\underline{X}')$.
If one has a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} Z \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Z' \arrow[d] \\ \underline{X} \arrow[r] & \underline{X}' \end{tikzcd}$$

where $\underline{X} \to \underline{X}$ is a két morphism of polystable log fibration, there is an induced morphism $\mathrm{C}_{\underline{X}}(Z) \to \mathrm{C}_{\underline{X}'}(Z')$. Since it maps non degenerate polysimplices to non degenerate polysimplices, if $\mathrm{Str}(Z) \to \mathrm{Str}(Z')$ is an isomorphism of posets, $\mathrm{C}_{\underline{X}}(Z) \to \mathrm{C}_{\underline{X}'}(Z')$ is an isomorphism.
Let $Z' \to Z$ be a két covering, let $Z'' = Z' \times_Z Z'$ and let x be a stratum of X_s, then $D_Z(x) = \mathrm{Coker}(D_{Z''}(x) \rightrightarrows D_{Z'}(x))$. We deduce from it that

$$\mathrm{C}(Z'') = \mathrm{Coker}(\mathrm{C}(Z') \rightrightarrows \mathrm{C}(Z)).$$

One may also define $\mathrm{C}_{\underline{X}}(Z)$ for $\underline{X}$ a general polystable fibration. Let $\underline{X}' \to \underline{X}$ be an étale covering, let $\underline{X}'' = \underline{X}' \times_{\underline{X}} \underline{X}'$ and let Z' and Z'' the pullbacks of Z to X' and X''. then one defines $\mathrm{C}_{\underline{X}}(Z) = \mathrm{Coker}(\mathrm{C}_{\underline{X}''}(Z'') \rightrightarrows \mathrm{C}_{\underline{X}'}(Z'))$ (it does not depend of the choice of $\underline{X}'$).

If $Z' \to Z$ is a surjective két morphism over $\underline{X}$ and $Z'' = Z' \times_Z Z'$, $\mathrm{Str}(Z) = \mathrm{Coker}(\mathrm{Str}(Z'') \rightrightarrows \mathrm{Str}(Z'))$.
One thus gets (két(X) denotes the category of két log schemes over X):

Proposition 2.6. *Let $\underline{X}$ be a polystable log fibration, one has a functor* C_X : két$(X) \to (\Lambda)^\circ\,\mathrm{Set}$ *such that:*

- *if $Z' \to Z$ is a két covering of* két(X_s),

$$\mathrm{C}(Z) = \mathrm{Coker}(\mathrm{C}(Z' \times_Z Z') \rightrightarrows \mathrm{C}(Z')).$$

- *$O(\mathrm{C}(Z))$ is functorially isomorphic to* $\mathrm{Str}(Z)$.

Remark. If one has a két morphism $\underline{Y} \to \underline{X}$ of polystable fibrations of length l, the polysimplicial complex $\mathrm{C}(Y_l)$ we have just define by considering Y_l as két over X_l is canonically isomorphic to the polysimplicial complex of the polystable fibration $\mathrm{C}(\underline{Y})$ defined by Berkovich.

If Z is quasicompact, then there is a connected két covering $s' \to s$ such that all the strata of $Z_{\tilde{s}'}$ are geometrically irreducible and $Z_{s'} \to s'$ is saturated. In particular, for any Kummer morphism of fs log points $s'' \to \tilde{s'}$, $\mathrm{C}(Z_{s''}) \to \mathrm{C}(Z_{\tilde{s}'})$ is an isomorphism.
The polysimplicial complex $\mathrm{C}(Z_{\tilde{s}'})$ for such an s is denoted by $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{geom}}(Z/s)$.

2.3 Tempered fundamental group of a polystable log fibration

Here we define the tempered fundamental group of a log fibration $\underline{X}$ over an fs log point. If T is a két covering of X, the topological coverings of $|\,\mathrm{C}(T)|$ will play the role of the topoological coverings of T.

Let us start by a categorical definition of tempered fundamental groups that we will use later in our log geometric situation.
Consider a fibered category $\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{C}$ such that:

- $\mathcal{C}$ is a Galois category,
- for every connected object U of $\mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{D}_U$ is a category equivalent to Π_U-Set for some discrete group Π_U,
- if U and V are two objects of $\mathcal{C}$, the functor $\mathcal{D}_{U \coprod V} \to \mathcal{D}_U \times \mathcal{D}_V$ is an equivalence,
- if $f : U \to V$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{C}$, $f^* : \mathcal{D}_V \to \mathcal{D}_U$ is exact.

Then, one can define a fibered category $\mathcal{D}' \to \mathcal{C}$ such that the fiber in U is the category of descent data of $\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{C}$ with respect to the morphism $U \to e$ (where e is the final element of $\mathcal{C}$).
Assume one has a splitting of $\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{C}$.
Let U is a connected Galois object of $\mathcal{C}$ and let G be the Galois group of U/e.
Then $\mathcal{D}'_U$ can be described in the following way:

- its objects are couples $(S_U, (\psi_g)_{g \in G})$, where S_U is an object of $\mathcal{D}_U$ and $\psi_g : S_U \to g^* S_U$ is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}_U$ such that for any $g, g' \in G$, $(g^* \psi'_g) \circ \psi_g = \psi_{g'g}$ (after identifying $(g'g)^*$ and $g^* {g'}^*$ by the canonical isomorphism to lighten the notations).
- a morphism $(S_U, (\psi_g)) \to (S'_U, \psi'_g)$ is a morphism $\phi : S_U \to S'_U$ in $\mathcal{D}_U$ such that for any $g \in G$, $\psi'_g \phi = (g^* \phi) \psi_g$.

There is a natural functor $F_0 : \mathcal{D}'_U \to \mathcal{D}_U$, which maps $(S_U, (\psi_g))$ to S_U. Let F_U be a fundamental functor $\mathcal{D}_U \to \mathrm{Set}$, such that $\mathrm{Aut}\, F_U = \Pi_U$.
Let $F = F_U F_0$, and $\Pi'_U = \mathrm{Aut}\, F$.

Proposition 2.7. *the natural functor* $\mathcal{D}'_U \to \Pi'_U$ -Set *is an equivalence.*

Proof. The proof is some kind of categorical analog of the proof of [2, th. 1.4.5]. Indeed, $\mathcal{D}'_U$ has limits and colimits, every object is the direct sum of its connected components and morphisms $S \to S'$ correspond bijectively with connected components Γ of $S \times S'$ such that the induced morphism $\Gamma \to S$ is an isomorphism. Thus the main point to prove is that for any connected object S of $\mathcal{D}'_U$, $F(S)$ is a connected Π'_U-set. Let $\mathcal{D}'_{U,S}$ be the category of S-objects of $\mathcal{D}'_U$.
Let I be the set of connected components of $F_0(S) = S_U$, so that $S_U = \coprod_{i\in I} S_i$, where S_i is a connected object of $\mathcal{D}_U$. G acts naturally on I and S is connected implies that I is a connected G-set. Then the category $\mathcal{D}'_{U,S}$ is canonically equivalent to the category D thus defined:

- objects of D are couples $S = ((S_i)_{i\in I}, (\psi_{g,i})_{(g,i)\in G\times I}$ such that S_i is an object of $\mathcal{D}_{U,S_i}$ and $\psi_{g,i} : S_i \to g^* S_{gi}$ is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}_{U,S_i}$;
- morphisms $S \to S'$ are families $(\phi_i)_{i\in I}$ of morphisms $S_i \to S'_i$ compatible with $(\psi_{g,i})$.

$\mathcal{D}_{U,S_i}$ is a category equivalent to H_i -Set where H_i is a subgroup of Π_U -Set since S_i is connected.
If $x = (i, F_i)$ is a couple where $i \in I$ and F_i is a fundamental functor of $\mathcal{D}_{U,S_i}$, one defines a functor $F_x \mathcal{D}'_{U,S} \to$ Set which maps S to $F_i(S_i)$. If x, x' are two such couples, there exists an isomorphism between F_x and F'_x: let $g \in G$ such that $gi = i'$ and let $x_0 = (i', F_i g^*)$, then $F_i g^*$ and $F'_{i'}$ must be isomorphic (since two fundamental functors of $\mathcal{D}_{U,S_{i'}}$ are isomorphic) so that $F_{x'}$ and F_{x_0} are isomorphic too; $S \mapsto F_i(\psi_{g,i})$ is an isomorphism of functors $F_x \to F_{x_0}$.
Now, let s, s' be two points in $F(S)$, and let i, i' be there images in I. Then if $S' \to S_i$ (resp. $S' \to S_{i'}$) is an object of $\mathcal{D}_{U,S_i}$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}_{U,S_{i'}}$), one defines $F_s(S' \to S_i)$ (resp. $F_{s'}(S' \to S_{i'})$) to be the preimage in $F(S')$ of s (resp. of s'). Let $x = (i, F_s)$ and $x' = (i', F_{s'})$, then there is an isomorphism $\alpha : F_x \to F_{x'}$ of functors of $\mathcal{D}'_{U,S}$. But since for any object T of $\mathcal{D}'_U$, $F(T) = F_x(T \times S) = F_{x'}(T \times S)$, one gets an automorphism $\beta : F \to F$ (defined by $\beta_T = \alpha_{T\times S\to S}$) which is easily seen to send s to s'.
Thus $F(S)$ is a connected Π'_U-set. ☐

Proposition 2.8. *There is a natural exact sequence*

$$1 \to \Pi_U \to \Pi'_U \to G \to 1.$$

Proof. F_0 induces a morphism $\Pi_U \to \Pi'_U$.
There is also a natural exact functor $F_1 : G$-Set $\to \mathcal{D}'_U$ which maps a G-set Y to the couple $(Y = \coprod_{y\in Y}\{y\}, (\psi_g))$ where Y is a constant object in $\mathcal{D}_U$ and ψ_g maps y to $g \cdot y$.
This functor is fully faithful and FF_1 is naturally isomorphic to the canonical functor G-Set $\to$ Set, so that it induces a surjection $\Pi'_U \to G$.
One also has a (non exact) functor $H_0 : \mathcal{D}'_U \to G$-Set which maps $(S_U, (\psi_g))$ to the set of connected components of the object S_U of $\mathcal{D}_U$, where the action of $g \in G$ is induced by ψ_g. If $S = (S_U, (\psi_g))$ is a connected object of $\mathcal{D}'_U$ such that $F_0(S) = S_U$ has a trivial connected component, $S \to F_1 H_0(S)$ is an isomorphism, so that S is in the essential image of F_1. Thus the sequence

$\Pi_U \to \Pi'_U \to G$ is exact at Π'_U.
Finally, let $S_{0,U}$ be a connected object of $\mathcal{D}_U$. Let $S_U = \coprod_{g\in G} g^* S_{0,U}$, and

$$\psi_g : S_U = \coprod_{h\in G} h^* S_{0,U} = \coprod_{hg\in G} (hg)^* S_{0,U} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \coprod_{h\in G} g^* h^* S_{0,U} = g^*(\coprod_{h\in G} h^* S_{0,U}) = g^* S_{0,U}.$$

One thus gets an object S of $\mathcal{D}'_U$ such that a connected component of $F_0(S)$ is isomorphic to $S_{0,U}$. This implies that $\Pi_U \to \Pi'_U$ is injective. □

If $(U_i, u_i)_{i\in I}$ is a cofinal projective system of pointed Galois objects (and let P be the corresponding object of pro-$\mathcal{C}$), one may define $\mathcal{B}^{\text{temp}}(\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{C}, P)$ to be the category $\varinjlim_i \mathcal{D}'_{U_i}$. An isomorphism of pro-objects $P \to P'$ induces an equivalence $\mathcal{B}^{\text{temp}}(\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{C}, P') \to \mathcal{B}^{\text{temp}}(\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{C}, P)$, so that $\mathcal{B}^{\text{temp}}(\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{C}, P)$ does not depend up to equivalence on the choice of $(U_i)_i$. Moreover, if $h \in G_i = \text{Gal}(U_i/e)$ the endofunctor $h^* : \mathcal{D}'_{U_i} \to \mathcal{D}'_{U_i}$ maps $S = (S_{U_i}, \psi_g)$ to $h^* S = (h^* S_{U_i}, \psi_{hg}\psi_h^{-1})$. Then $\psi_h : S_{U_i} \to h^* S_{U_i}$ defines an isomorphism $S \to h^* S$ functorially in S. Thus $h^* : \mathcal{D}'_{U_i} \to \mathcal{D}'_{U_i}$ is canonically isomorphic to the identity of $\mathcal{D}'_{U_i}$. Thus every isomorphism of the pro-object P induces an endofunctor of $\mathcal{B}^{\text{temp}}(\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{C}, P)$ which is canonically isomorphic to the identity (functorially on $\text{Aut}\, P$).

Let $(F_i)_{i\in I}$ be a family of fundamental functors $F_i : \mathcal{D}_{U_i} \to \text{Set}$ and assume one has a family $(\alpha_f)_{f:U_i\to U_j}$, indexed on the set of morphisms in I, of isomorphisms of functors $F_i f^* \to F_j$ such that for any $U_i \xrightarrow{f} U_j \xrightarrow{g} U_k$, $(\alpha_f \cdot g^*)\alpha_g = \alpha_{gf}$ (after identifying $(gf)^*$ and $f^* g^*$ to lighten the notations). Such a family exists if I is just $\mathbf{N}$. Then, this induces a projective system $(\Pi'_{U_i})_{i\in I}$ (unique up to isomorphism independantly of (α_f) if I=$\mathbf{N}$ and the functors $\mathcal{D}'_{U_i} \to \mathcal{D}'_{U_j}$ are fully faithful), so that one can define

$$\pi_1^{\text{temp}}(\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{C}, (F_i)) = \varprojlim \Pi'_{U_i}$$

Assume one has a 2-commutative diagram with fibered vertical arrows:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{D}_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{D}_2 \arrow[d] \\
\mathcal{C}_1 \arrow[r, "f"] & \mathcal{C}_2
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that $f : \mathcal{C}_1 \to \mathcal{C}_2$ is exact, and $\mathcal{D}_{1,U} \to \mathcal{D}_{2,f(U)}$ is exact for every object U of $\mathcal{C}_1$.
One then gets a functor $\mathcal{B}^{\text{temp}}(\mathcal{D}_1/\mathcal{C}_1) \to \mathcal{B}^{\text{temp}}(\mathcal{D}_2/\mathcal{C}_2)$.

For example, Let X be a K-manifold, $\mathcal{C}$ be the category of finite étale covering of X and $\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{C}$ be the fibered category such that $\mathcal{D}_U$ is the category of topological coverings of U. Then, since finite étale coverings are morphisms of effective descent for tempered coverings, $\mathcal{D}'_U$ can be identified functorially with the full subcategory of $\text{Cov}^{\text{temp}}(X)$ of tempered coverings S such that S_U is a topological covering of U. If (U_i, u_i) is a cofinal system of pointed Galois cover of (X, x), then $\mathcal{B}^{\text{temp}}(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{D})$ becomes canonically equivalent with $\text{Cov}^{\text{temp}}(X)$.

Let us apply our categorical definition of tempered fundamental groups to our log geometrical case.

Let $\underline{X} : X \to X_{l-1} \to \cdots \to \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ be a polystable log fibration, and assume that X is connected.
Then one has a functor $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{top}} : \mathrm{KCov}(X) \to \mathcal{K}\mathrm{e}$ obtained by composing the functor C of proposition 2.6 with the geometric realization functor.
One can thus define a fibered category $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{top}} \to \mathrm{KCov}(X)$ such that the fiber of a két covering of Y of X is the category of topological coverings of $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{top}}(Y)$ (which is equivalent to $\pi_1^{\mathrm{top}}(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{top}}(Y))$-Set).
One defines a fibered category $\mathrm{DD}_{\mathrm{temp}} \to \mathrm{KCov}(X)$ such that the fiber of a két covering $f : Y \to X$ is the category of descent data of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{top}} \to \mathrm{KCov}(X)$ with respect to $Y \to X$ (this corresponds heuristically to the "tempered" coverings of X that become topological after pullback by $Y \to X$).
Let x be a log geometric point of X and let (Y, y) be a log geometrically pointed connected Galois két covering of (X, x).
Let $\tilde{y} \to |\,\mathrm{C}(Y)|$ be the closed cell (which is contractible) of $|\,\mathrm{C}(Y)|$ that corresponds to the stratum of Y containing y. Then one has a fundamental functor $F_y : \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{top}_Y} \to$ Set that corresponds to the base point $\tilde{y}$ ($F_y(S)$ is the set of connected components of $S \times_{|\,\mathrm{C}(Y)|} \tilde{y}$). Moreover, for any morphism $f : (Y', y') \to (Y, y)$, the two functors $F_{y'} f^*$ and F_y are canonically isomorphic.
Then one can consider the functor $F_{(Y,y)} : \mathrm{DD}_{\mathrm{temp}_Y} \to$ Set which associates to a descent data T the set $F_y(T_Y)$.
The induced functor $\mathrm{DD}_{\mathrm{temp}_Y} \to \mathrm{Aut}(F_{(Y,y)})$-Set is an equivalence of categories.
One has an exact sequence:

$$1 \to \pi_1^{\mathrm{top}}(|\,\mathrm{C}(Y)|, \tilde{y}) \to \mathrm{Aut}(F_{(Y,y)}) \to \mathrm{Gal}(Y/X) \to 1.$$

Then one defines

$$\pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(X, x)^{\mathbb{L}} = \varprojlim_{(Y,y)} \mathrm{Aut}(F_{(Y,y)}),$$

where the projective limit is taken over the directed category $\mathbb{L}$-$\mathrm{GalKCov}(X, x)$ of pointed connected Galois $\mathbb{L}$-finite két coverings of (X, x).

If $x_1 \to x_2$ is a specialization of log geometric points of X, it induces a natural equivalence between the category of pointed coverings of (X, x_1) and the category of pointed coverings of (X, x_2) (we thus identify the two categories).
If Y is a pointed covering (Y, y_1) of (X, x_1), the corresponding pointed covering of (X, x_2) is (Y, y_2) where y_2 is the unique log geometric point above x_2 such that there is a specialization $y_1 \to y_2$ (and this specialization is unique). Then there is a canonical map $\tilde{y}_2 \to \tilde{y}_1$ such that

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\tilde{y}_2 \arrow[r] \arrow[dr] & \tilde{y}_1 \arrow[d] \\
 & |\,\mathrm{C}(Y)|
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes.
This induces a canonical isomorphism $F_{y_1} \simeq F_{y_2}$, functorial in Y, so that one gets a canonical isomorphism $\pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(X, x_1)^{\mathbb{L}} \to \pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(X, x_2)^{\mathbb{L}}$. If X is connected and x_1, x_2 are two log geometric points of X, there exists a sequence of specializations and cospecializations joining x_1 to x_2, so that $\pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(X, x_1)^{\mathbb{L}}$ and

$\pi_1^{\text{temp}}(X,x_1)^{\mathbb{L}}$ are isomorphic.

One has an equivalence of categories between

$$\mathcal{B}^{\text{temp},\mathbb{L}}{}_{(X,x)} = \varinjlim \mathrm{DD}_{\text{temp}_Y} /\mathbb{L}\text{- GalKCov}(X,x)$$

and the category $\pi_1^{\text{temp}}(X,x)^{\mathbb{L}}$-Set of sets with an action of $\pi_1^{\text{temp}}(X,x)^{\mathbb{L}}$ that goes through a discrete quotient of $\pi_1^{\text{temp}}(X,x)^{\mathbb{L}}$.

Assume now that X is log geometrically connected, *i.e.* that $X_{k'}$ is connected for any két extension k' of k.
Let $\bar{k}$ be a log geometric point on k, let $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_{k'})$ be a compatible system of log geometric points of $X_{k'}$ where k' runs through két extensions of $(k,\bar{k})$ (for example, to construct such a system, one can take a geometric point of $X_{\tilde{k}}$ where $\tilde{k}$ is a strict separable closure of k; then $\pi_1^{\log}(\tilde{k})$ is finitely generated, and one can thus take a countable system of pointed coverings $\tilde{k}_i$ of $\tilde{k}$, and take geometric points on $X_{\tilde{k}_i}$ by induction on i).
Then, one defines $\pi_1^{\text{temp-geom}}(X,\bar{x})^{\mathbb{L}} = \varprojlim_{k'} \pi_1^{\text{temp}}(X_{k'},\bar{x}_{k'})^{\mathbb{L}}$, where k' runs through két extensions of k in a log geometric point $\bar{k}$.
Let $\mathrm{KCov}_{\text{geom}}(X) = \varinjlim \mathrm{KCov}(X_{k'})$ where k' runs through két extensions of k in $\bar{k}$. It is the category of log geometric coverings of X.
One thus get a fibered category $\mathcal{D}_{\text{top-geom}} \to \mathrm{KCov}_{\text{geom}}(X)$, whose fiber in Y is the category of topological coverings of $|\,\mathrm{C}_{\text{geom}}(Y)|$.
If $Y \to X$ is a log geometric covering, defined over k', $\mathrm{C}_{\text{geom}}(Y_{k'})$ does not depend of k', so that one gets a functor $\mathrm{KCov}_{\text{geom}}(X) \to \mathcal{K}\mathrm{e}$ which maps Y to $|\,\mathrm{C}_{\text{geom}}(Y)|$. If $\bar{x}$ is a compatible system of points, for any pointed log geometric covering $(Y,\bar{y})$ of $(X,\bar{x})$, $\bar{y}$ defines a fundamental functor $F_{\bar{y}}$ of $\mathcal{D}_{\text{top-geom}_Y}$ which are canonically isomorphic for any morphism $(Y',\bar{y}') \to (Y,\bar{y})$.
Then $\pi_1^{\text{temp-geom}}(X,\bar{x})^{\mathbb{L}} = \pi_1^{\text{temp}}(\mathcal{D}_{\text{top-geom}} / \mathrm{KCov}_{\text{geom}}(X), (F_{\bar{y}}))^{\mathbb{L}}$.

3 Comparison result for the pro-(p') tempered fundamental group

If $\underline{X} : X \to \cdots \to \mathrm{Spec}(O_K)$ is a proper polystable log fibration, we want to compare the tempered fundamental group of the generic fiber X_η with the tempered fundamental group of the special fiber endowed with its natural log structure. The specialization theory of the log fundamental group already gives us a functor from két coverings of the special fiber and algebraic coverings of the generic fiber. To extend this to tempered fundamental groups, one has to compare, for any két covering T_s of the special fiber, the topological space $\mathrm{C}(T_s)$ with the Berkovich space of the corresponding covering T_η of the generic fiber. Thus we will define, as in [5], a strong deformation retraction of T_η^{an} to a subset canonically homeomorphic to $|\,\mathrm{C}(T_s)|$. We will construct this retraction étale locally, where T has a Galois covering V' by some polystable log fibration over a finite tamely ramified extension of O_K. Then the retraction of the tube of T_s is obtained by descending the retraction of the tube of V'_s, defined in [5]. We will then verify that the retraction does not depend on the choice of V' so that we can descend the retraction we defined étale locally.

3.1 Skeleton of a két log scheme over a pluristable log scheme

Let $\underline{X} : X \to \cdots \to \mathrm{Spec}(O_K)$ be a polystable log fibration over $\mathrm{Spec}(O_K)$.

Proposition 3.1. *For every két morphism $T \to X$, let $\mathfrak{T}_\eta$ be the generic fiber, in the sense of Berkovich, of the formal completion of T along its special fiber. Then, there is a functorial map $|\,\mathrm{C}(T)_s)| \to \mathfrak{T}_\eta$, which identifies, $|\,\mathrm{C}(T_s)|$ with a subset $S(T)$ of $\mathfrak{T}_\eta$ on which $\mathfrak{T}_\eta$ retracts by strong deformation.*

Remark. $\mathfrak{T}_\eta$ is naturally an analytic subdomain of T_η^{an}. Moreover if T is proper over O_K (for example if X is proper, and T is a két covering), then $\mathfrak{T}_\eta \to T_\eta^{\mathrm{an}}$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let $f : T \to X$ be a két morphism.

For every $x \in T_s$, let $\underline{U} : U_l \to \cdots \to U_0$ be a polystable fibration étale over $\underline{X}$ such that (U_l, x_l) is an étale neighborhood of $f(x)$, such that, for every i, U_i has an exact chart $P_i \to A_i$ and compatible morphisms $P_i \to P_{i+1}$ such that the induced morphism $U_{i+1} \to U_i \times_{\mathrm{Spec}\,\mathbf{Z}[P_i]} \mathrm{Spec}\,\mathbf{Z}[P_{i+1}]$ is strict étale.
One has an étale neighborhood $i : (V, x') \to (T, x)$ of x, a (p')-Kummer morphism $P_l \to Q$ such that $V \to X$ factors through a strict étale morphism $V \to U_l \times_{\mathrm{Spec}\,\mathbf{Z}[P_l]} \mathrm{Spec}\,\mathbf{Z}[Q]$.

Let $P_i \to \frac{1}{n}P_i$ be the canonical injection. Then, by definition of a (p')-Kummer morphism, there exists n prime to p such that $P_l \to \frac{1}{n}P_l$ factors through $P_l \to Q$. Thus V has a két Galois covering that comes from a polystable fibration $\underline{U}' = V' \to U'_{l-1} \to \cdots \to \mathrm{Spec}\,O_{K'}$, where $U'_i = U_i \times_{\mathrm{Spec}\,Z[P_i]} \mathrm{Spec}\,Z[\frac{1}{n}P]$ for $i \leq l$ and $V' = V \times_{\mathbf{Z}[Q]} \mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{n}P]$ (so that there is a strict étale morphism $V' \to U'_l$) over $O_{K'}$ for some finite tamely ramified extension $K' = K[\pi^{1/n}]$ of K . Let us call $G = (\frac{1}{n}P^{\mathrm{gp}}/Q^{\mathrm{gp}})^\vee$ the Galois group of this két covering.

Let us denote by $\mathfrak{U}, \mathfrak{U}_i, \mathfrak{V}, \mathfrak{V}'$ the formal completions of U, U_i, V, V' along the special fiber. $\mathfrak{V}_\eta$ will then denote the generic fiber of $\mathfrak{V}$ in the sense of Berkovich.
The retraction of $\mathfrak{V}'_\eta$ defined in theorem 1.9 is G-equivariant, so that it defines a retraction of $\mathfrak{V}_\eta$.
Let $S(\ )$ denote the image of the retraction of $(\ )_\eta$. Then $S(\mathfrak{V}_\eta) = G\backslash S(\mathfrak{V}'_\eta) = G\backslash|C(V'_s)| = |G\backslash C(V'_s)| = |C(V_s)|$ (corollary 1.10).

Let us show that the previously defined retraction of $\mathfrak{U}_\eta$ does not depend on n. Let us start by the case of a polystable morphism.
Let

$$\psi : Z_1 = \mathrm{Spec}\,A[P]/(p_i - \lambda_i) \to Z_2 = \mathrm{Spec}\,A[P]/(p_i - \lambda_i^s)$$

where $P = \mathbf{N}^{|\mathbf{r}|} = \oplus_{(i,j)\in\mathbf{r}}\mathbf{N}e_{ij}$ and $p_i = \sum_j e_{ij}$ induced by the multiplication by s on P, where s is an integer prime to p and where $\lambda \in A$.
Let $\mathbf{G}$ be the group $\mathbf{G}_m^{(\mathbf{r})}$ as defined in [5, démo du th. 5.2 étape 2], it acts on Z_1 and Z_2. One has $\psi(g \cdot x) = g^s \cdot \psi(x)$.
Let T_{ij} be the coordinates of G. Then $|T_{ij}^s - 1| = |T_{ij} - 1|$ if $|T_{ij} - 1| < 1$. Thus,

for $t < 1$, $(\ )^s : G \to G$ induces an isomorphism $(\ )^s : G_t \to G_t$, and $g_t^s = g_t$. Thus, if $t < 1$ (and also for $t = 1$ by continuity),

$$\psi(x_t) = \psi(g_t * x) = g_t^s * \psi(x) = g_t * \psi(x) = \psi(x)_t.$$

For a standard polystable fibration, the same result easily follows by induction using that $\psi_n(r_i, t)^{1/s} = \psi_n(r_i^{1/s}, t^{1/s})$ (we kept the notations from the sketch of the proof of theorem 1.9).
More precisely, suppose we have the diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
B = B'[Y_{ij}]/(Y_{i0}\cdots Y_{in_i} - b_i) & B' \arrow[l] \\
A = A'[X_{ij}]/(X_{i0}\cdots X_{in_i} - a_i) \arrow[u, "\phi"] & A' \arrow[l] \arrow[u, "\phi'"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\phi(X_{ij}) = Y_{ij}^s$ anf thus $\phi'(a_i) = b_i^s$, and $\tilde{\phi}' := \operatorname{Spf}\phi' : \operatorname{Spf}B' \to \operatorname{Spf}A'$ is a két morphism of polystable log fibrations and assume by induction that we already know that $\tilde{\phi}(x_t) = \tilde{\phi}(x)_t$.
Let $\mathfrak{X}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{X}'$, $\mathfrak{Y}$, $\mathfrak{Y}'$) denote $\operatorname{Spf}A$ (resp. $\operatorname{Spf}A'$, $\operatorname{Spf}B$, $\operatorname{Spf}B'$).
The first part of the retraction of $\mathfrak{X}_\eta^{\mathrm{an}}$ and $\mathfrak{Y}_\eta^{\mathrm{an}}$ (consisting of the retraction fiber by fiber) commutes with $\tilde{\phi} := \operatorname{Spf}\phi$ according to the previous case. We thus just have to study the second part of the retraction.
$\tilde{\phi}$ induces a map:

$$\begin{array}{ccl}
S_A & = & \{(x, r_{ij}) \in (\mathfrak{X}')_\eta^{\mathrm{an}} \times [0,1]^{[\mathbf{n}]} | r_{i0}\cdots r_{in_i} = |a_i(x)|\} \subset \mathfrak{X}_\eta^{\mathrm{an}} \\
\downarrow & & \\
S_B & = & \{(y, r_{ij}) \in (\mathfrak{Y}')_\eta^{\mathrm{an}} \times [0,1]^{[\mathbf{n}]} | r_{i0}\cdots r_{in_i} = |b_i(y)|\} \subset \mathfrak{Y}_\eta^{\mathrm{an}}
\end{array}$$

which maps (x, r_{ij}) to $(\tilde{\phi}'(x), r_{ij}^{1/s})$ (remark that $|a_i(x)| = |b_i(\tilde{\phi}'(x))|^s$).
Then, if $(x, r_{ij}) \in S_A$ (we will write $y := \tilde{\phi}'(x)$; by induction assumption, $\tilde{\phi}'(x_t) = y_t$)

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\phi}((x, r_{ij})_t) &= \tilde{\phi}((x_t, \psi_{n_i}(r_{ij}, |a_i(x_t)|)_k)) \\
&= (y_t, \psi_{n_i}(r_{ij}, |a_i(x_t)|)_k^{1/s}) \\
&= (y_t, \psi_{n_i}(r_{ij}^{1/s}, |a_i(x_t)|^{1/s})_k) \\
&= (y_t, \psi_{n_i}(r_{ij}^{1/s}, |b_i(y_t)|)_k) \\
&= (y, r_{ij}^{1/s})_t \\
&= \tilde{\phi}(x, r_{ij})_t
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we get that the retraction of $\mathfrak{U}_\eta$ does not depend on n.

Let $i : W \to T$ be another neighborhood of x satisfying the same properties as V, and W' defined in the same way (one may assume by the previous remark that we chose the same n). Thus, $W'' = V' \times_T W'$ is étale over V' and W' (by the canonical projection denoted by p and p').
Let thus $y \in \mathfrak{V}'_\eta$ and $y' \in \mathfrak{W}'_\eta$ with same image in $\mathfrak{T}_\eta$. Let $y'' \in \mathfrak{W}''_\eta$ be above y and y'. Then, for every $t \in [0,1]$, $i(y_t) = i(p(\phi(y''))_t) = i(p(\phi(y'')_t))$ by definition of the retraction of $\mathfrak{V}_\eta$. By using theorem 1.9.(ii) again to ϕ, one gets $i(y_t) = ip\phi(y''_t)$. By the same argument for U' and since $ip\phi = i'p'\phi'$, one gets $i(y_t) = i'(y'_t)$. Thus, the retractions of the different $\mathfrak{V}_\eta$ are compatible and

one gets a well defined retraction of $\mathfrak{T}_\eta$ (if (V_i) is an étale covering family of T, the map obtained by glueing the deformation of the different $\mathfrak{V}_{i,\eta}$ is continuous since $\coprod \mathfrak{V}_{i,\eta} \to \mathfrak{T}_\eta$ is quasi-étale and surjective and thus a topological factor map).

Moreover, if $\phi : T_1 \to T_2$ is a két morphism, $\phi(x_t) = \phi(x)_t$. As in theorem 1.9.(vi), it is also compatible with isometric extensions of K.

If T is covered by $\widetilde{V} = \bigcup_i V_i$ such that every V_i satisfies the same property as V, one gets an isomorphism

$$S(\mathfrak{T}_\eta) = \mathrm{Coker}(S(\widetilde{\mathfrak{V}} \times_{\mathfrak{T}} \widetilde{\mathfrak{V}}_\eta) \rightrightarrows \widetilde{\mathfrak{V}})) = \mathrm{Coker}(\bigcup_{i,j} |C(V_{i,s} \times_{T_s} V_{j,s}| \rightrightarrows \bigcup_i |C(V_{i,s})|) = |C(T_s)|$$

This isomorphism is functorial in T. □

3.2 Comparison theorem

Let K be a discrete valuation field. Let p be the residual characteristic (which can be 0).
Let $\underline{X} : X \to \cdots \to \mathrm{Spec}(O_K)$ a polystable log fibration over $\mathrm{Spec}(O_K)$.
Let us now compare the tempered fundamental group of the generic fiber, as a K-manifold, and the tempered fundamental group of its special fiber as defined in §2.3.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\bar{x}$ be a geometric point of X_η^{an}, and let $\tilde{x}$ be its log reduction. One has a morphism $\pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(X_\eta^{\mathrm{an}}, \bar{x})^{\mathbb{L}} \to \pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(X_s, \tilde{x})^{\mathbb{L}}$ which is an isomorphism if $p \notin \mathbb{L}$.*

These morphisms are compatible with finite extensions of K.

Proof. One has two functors $\mathbb{L}\text{-}\mathrm{KCov}(X) \to \mathbb{L}\text{-}\mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{alg}}(X_\eta)$, which is an equivalence of categories if $p \notin \mathbb{L}$, and $\mathbb{L}\text{-}\mathrm{KCov}(X) \to \mathbb{L}\text{-}\mathrm{KCov}(X_s)$ which is an equivalence of categories (theorem 1.3). This gives us an equivalence of categories between $\mathbb{L}\text{-}\mathrm{KCov}(X_s)$ and $\mathbb{L}\text{-}\mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{alg}}(X_\eta)$, which enables us to identify the two categories.
One has a fibered category $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{top}}^{\mathrm{an}}(X)$ over $\mathbb{L}\text{-}\mathrm{KCov}(X)$ whose fiber at a $\mathbb{L}$-finite két covering T is the category of topological coverings of T_η^{an}. One has also another fibered category $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{top}}^{\mathrm{sp}}(X)$ over $\mathbb{L}\text{-}\mathrm{KCov}(X)$ obtained by pulling back the fibered category $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{top}}(X_s) \to \mathbb{L}\text{-}\mathrm{KCov}(X_s)$ defined in part 2.3 along $\mathbb{L}\text{-}\mathrm{KCov}(X) \to \mathbb{L}\text{-}\mathrm{KCov}(X_s)$.

Proposition 3.1 induces an equivalence of fibered categories $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{top}}^{\mathrm{an}}(X) \to \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{top}}^{\mathrm{sp}}(X)$.

But $\mathbb{L}\text{-}\mathrm{KCov}(X) \to \mathbb{L}\text{-}\mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{alg}}(X_\eta)$ induces a morphism

$$\pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(X_\eta^{\mathrm{an}})^{\mathbb{L}} \to \pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{top}}^{\mathrm{an}}(X)/\mathbb{L}\text{-}\mathrm{KCov}(X))$$

which is an isomorphism if $p \notin \mathbb{L}$.
Similarly, $\mathbb{L}\text{-}\operatorname{KCov}(X) \to \mathbb{L}\text{-}\operatorname{KCov}(X_s)$ induces an isomorphism

$$\pi_1^{\text{temp}}(X_s)^{\mathbb{L}} \to \pi_1^{\text{temp}}(\mathcal{D}_{\text{top}}^{\text{sp}}(X)/\mathbb{L}\text{-}\operatorname{KCov}(X))$$

since $\mathbb{L}\text{-}\operatorname{KCov}(X) \to \mathbb{L}\text{-}\operatorname{KCov}(X_s)$ is an equivalence of categories.

□

3.3 Geometric comparison theorem

We will assume in this section that $p \notin \mathbb{L}$.

Theorem 3.3. *There is a natural isomorphism*

$$\pi_1^{\text{temp-geom}}(X_s)^{\mathbb{L}} \simeq \pi_1^{\text{temp}}(X_{\bar{\eta}})^{\mathbb{L}}.$$

Proof. One knows, according to [1, prop 5.1.1], that

$$\pi_1^{\text{temp}}(X_{\bar{\eta}}) \simeq \varprojlim_{K_i} \pi_1^{\text{temp}}(X_{K_i}),$$

where K_i runs through the finite extensions of K in $\overline{K}$.
This induces an analog result for the $\mathbb{L}$-version.
However, we would like to know, in the case where $p \notin \mathbb{L}$, if one can only take the projective limit over tamely ramified extensions of K (*i.e.* to két extensions of O_k). Then the isomorphism we want would simply be obtained from theorem 3.2 by taking the porjective limit over két extensions of O_k.

We have to show that if T' is a $\mathbb{L}$-finite két geometric covering of X (which is defined over a finite tamely ramified extension of K according to [15, prop. 1.15]: one can thus assume that T' is defined over K), the universal topological covering $\widetilde{T'_\eta}$ of T'_η is defined over some tamely ramified extension of K.
By changing $\operatorname{Spec} O_K$ by some két covering (which amounts to changing K by some tamely ramified extension) one may assume that $T' \to \operatorname{Spec} O_K$ is saturated.
One already knows that $\widetilde{T'_\eta}$ is defined over some finite extension K_2 of K ([1, lem 5.1.3]). Let K_1 be the maximal unramified extension of K in K_2. As $T' \to O_K$ is saturated, the underlying scheme of $T'_{O_{K_2}}$ is obtained by the base change of schemes $\operatorname{Spec} O_{K_2} \to \operatorname{Spec} O_{K_1}$ of the underlying scheme of $T'_{O_{K_1}}$. By looking at the special fiber, as $K_1 = K_2$ (as schemes), the morphism $T'_{K_2} \to T'_{K_1}$ induces an isomorphism between the underlying schemes, thus a bijection between their strata, and thus an isomorphism $|C(T'_{K_2})| \to |C(T'_{K_1})|$ and $S(T'_{K_2}) \to S(T'_{K_1})$. Thus $\widetilde{T'_\eta}$ is defined over K_1.

□

This isomorphism is $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K}, K)$-equivariant (since the isomorphism for each Galois extension K_i of K is $\operatorname{Gal}(K_i/K)$-equivariant).

Remark. We could also have constructed our isomorphism by taking the projective limit over all the separable extensions of K by remarking that if $K_1 \to K_2$ is totally wildly ramified, then the morphism of log points $s_2 \to s_1$ is kuh and thus $\pi_1^{\text{temp}}(X_{s_2}) \to \pi_1^{\text{temp}}(X_{s_1})$ is an isomorphism.

4 Cospecialization of pro-(p') tempered fundamental group

Let $X \to Y$ be a proper polystable log fibration, such that Y is log smooth and proper over O_K (the properness of $Y \to O_K$ is only assumed so that every point of Y_η has a reduction in Y_s, but the cospecialization morphisms we will construct only depend of Y locally). In this section we will construct the cospecialization morphisms for the (p')-tempered fundamental group of the geometric fibers of $X_\eta \to Y_\eta$. Thanks to theorem 3.3 we will be reduced to construct cospecialization morphisms for the (p')-tempered fundamental group of the log geometric fibers of $X_s \to Y_s$. Let thus $\bar{s}_2 \to \bar{s}_1$ be a specialization of log geometric points of Y, where $\bar{s}_1$ and $\bar{s}_2$ are the reductions of geometric points $\bar{\eta}_1, \bar{\eta}_2$of Y_η.

We already have an equivalence of geometric két coverings of X_{η_1} and X_{η_2}. Now we must compare, for any such két covering Z_{s_1} corresponding to Z_{s_2} (which extends to Z_U), their polysimplicial sets as defined in proposition 2.6. We will assume that s_2 is the generic point of its stratum (if s_1 and s_2 are in the same stratum and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{geom}}(X_{s_2})$ is interiorly free, it will turn out that $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{geom}}(X_{s_1}) \to \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{geom}}(X_{s_2})$ is an isomorphism, so that we can replace s_2 by the generic point of its stratum). We will construct the cospecialization morphism of polysimplicial set étale locally, so that we can assume X to be strictly polystable (the properness will not be used for this). This cospecialization morphism of polysimplicial set will be constructed by associating, after some két localization of the base so that Z_U becomes saturated, to a stratum z of Z_{s_1} the minimal stratum z' of Z_{s_2} such that z is in the closure of z' (as in lemma 1.7). Then the closure of z' in the strict localization of the generic point of z is separable onto its image, so that z' is geometrically connected, thus defining a geometric stratum of X_{s_2}.
We will end this article by glueing our specialization isomorphism of (p')-log tempered fundamental group with our cospecialization morphisms of polysimplicial sets in a cospecialization morphism of tempered fundamental groups.

4.1 Cospecialization of polysimplicial sets

In this section, we construct a cospecialization map of polysimplicial set for a composition of a két morphism and of a log polystable fibration.

One can give an analog of [5, prop 2.9]:

Proposition 4.1. *Let $Z' \to Z$ be a strictly plurinodal morphism of fs log schemes, and $Z'' \to Z'$ be a két morphism of log schemes. Let z_1 and z_2 two strata of Z (endowed with the inverse image log structure Z), such that $z_2 \leq z_1$ (*i.e. *$z_1 \in \overline{\{z_2\}}$). Then one has a cospecialization morphism $\mathrm{Str}(Z'_{z_1}) \to \mathrm{Str}(Z'_{z_2})$ which maps a stratum x_1 of $\mathrm{Str}(Z'_{z_1})$ to the unique maximal element of $\{x_2 \in \mathrm{Str}(Z'_{z_2}) | x_1 \in \bar{x}_2\}$.*
The cospecialization morphism maps minimal points to minimal points.
If $z_3 \leq z_2 \leq z_1$, the obvious diagram of cospecialization morphism is commutative.

Proof. As for [5, prop 2.9], if the result is true for two morphisms $\phi : Z'' \to Z'$ and $\psi : Z' \to Z$ then it is also true for $\phi \circ \psi$ because $\mathrm{Str}(Z''_z) = \coprod_{z' \in \mathrm{Str}(Z'_z)} \mathrm{Str}(Z''_{z'})$. But it is true if ψ is strictly plurinodal ([5, prop 2.9]), and it is also true for ϕ két according to (2.5). $\square$

Definition 4.1. One says that a couple of points $(z_2 \leq z_1)$ of a Zariski fs log scheme Z is a ***good couple*** if there is some neighborhood U of z_1 and an fs chart $U \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[P]$ such that if $\mathfrak{p}$ is the image of z_2 in $\operatorname{Spec} P$ by $U \to \operatorname{Spec} P$, and if $F = P \backslash \mathfrak{p}$, the reduced scheme $\overline{\{z_2\}}$ endowed with the log structure associated to F (this log scheme will be denoted by $\overline{\{z_2\}}_F$) by the morphism

$$\overline{\{z_2\}} \to \operatorname{Spec} k[P]/k[\mathfrak{p}] \simeq \operatorname{Spec} k[F]$$

is log regular.
One says that a couple of geometric points (resp. log geometric points) $(\bar{z}_2 \to \bar{z}_1)$ of an fs log scheme Z if there is some étale (resp. két) neighborhood U of $\bar{z}_1$ such that U has a global chart (and thus is Zariski) and the couple $(z_2 \leq z_1)$ of underlying points of U is a good couple.

A couple of points $(z_2 \leq z_1)$ is a good couple if z_2 is the generic point of a stratum of a log regular Zariski fs log scheme ([14, prop. 7.2]).

Lemma 4.2. *Let $Z' \to Z$ be a Zariski log smooth morphism of fs log schemes, let (z_2, z_1) be a good couple of points of Z. Let z'_2 (respectively z'_1) be the generic point of a stratum of Z'_{z_2} (respectively Z'_{z_1}), such that $z'_2 \leq z'_1$. Then (z'_2, z'_1) is a good couple of points of Z'.*

Proof. Since the statement is local on Z and since log regularity is stable under étale base change, one can assume that one has an fs chart $(\phi : P \to Q)$ of $Z \to Z'$ such that the square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Z' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\square"] & \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[Q] \arrow[d] \\
Z \arrow[r] & \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[P]
\end{tikzcd}$$

is cartesian.
Let $\mathfrak{p}$ (respectively $\mathfrak{q}$) the ideal of P (respectively Q) which is the image of z_1 (respectively z_2) and let $F = P \backslash \mathfrak{p}$ (respectively $F' = Q \backslash \mathfrak{q}$) be the associated face. We want to show that $\overline{\{z'_1\}}$ endowed with the log structure associated to F' is log regular.
One has $F = \phi^{-1}(F')$. $\operatorname{Ker} \phi^{\mathrm{gp}}_{|F} \subset \operatorname{Ker} \phi^{\mathrm{gp}}$ and $\operatorname{Coker} \phi^{\mathrm{gp}}_{|F} \subset \operatorname{Coker} \phi^{\mathrm{gp}}$, thus $\phi_{|F} : F \to F'$ is also a log smooth morphism of monoids.
Moreover the following diagram of schemes is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[F'] \arrow[r, phantom, "\simeq"] \arrow[d] & \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[Q]/\mathbf{Z}[\mathfrak{q}] \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[Q] \arrow[d] \\
\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[F] \arrow[r, phantom, "\simeq"] & \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[P]/\mathbf{Z}[\mathfrak{p}] \arrow[r] & \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[P]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Thus

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\overline{\{z'_1\}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[F'] \arrow[d] \\
\overline{\{z_1\}} \arrow[r] & \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[F]
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative. Let $Z'' = \overline{\{z_1\}} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}\,\mathbf{Z}[F]} \mathrm{Spec}\,\mathbf{Z}[F']$ and endow it with the log structure associated to F'. Since $Z'' \to \overline{\{z_1\}}_F$ is log smooth and $\overline{\{z_1\}}_F$ is log regular, according to [14, th. 8.2], Z'' is log regular.
One has a morphism of schemes $\overline{\{z'_1\}} \to Z''$ which is the closed embedding of an irreducible component (because Z'' is the preimage of $\overline{\{\mathfrak{q}\}}$ in $Z'_{\overline{\{z_1\}}}$ and z'_1 is a generic point of the preimage of $\overline{\{\mathfrak{q}\}}$ in Z'_{z_1} by definition of a stratum), which induces a strict morphism of log schemes $\overline{\{z'_1\}}_{F'} \to Z''$. Thus, since Z'' is log regular (and thus normal), $\overline{\{z'_1\}}_{F'}$ is a connected component of Z'' and thus is log regular too. □

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\phi : Z' \to Z$ be a strictly plurinodal morphism of fs log schemes. Let (z_2, z_1) be a good couple of points of Z.*
Then one has a cospecialization morphism $\mathrm{Str}(Z'_{z_1}) \to \mathrm{Str}(Z'_{z_2})$ which maps a stratum x_1 of $\mathrm{Str}(Z'_{z_1})$ to the unique maximal element of $\{x_2 \in \mathrm{Str}(Z'_{z_2}) | x_1 \in \bar{x}_2\}$.
The cospecialization morphism maps minimal points to minimal points.
If $z_3 \leq z_2 \leq z_1$, the obvious diagram of cospecialization morphisms is commutative.

Proof. It clearly is true if ϕ is a standard morphism $\mathrm{Spec}\,B \to \mathrm{Spec}\,A$ with $f : P \to A$ a chart of $\mathrm{Spec}\,A$ and $B = A[u,v]/(uv - f(a))$ where $a \in M$ (one can for example use [5, lem 2.3]).
By lemma (4.2), one sees, as in the proof of [5, prop. 2.9], that if the proposition is true for ϕ and ϕ', it is true for $\phi \circ \phi'$. Moreover the result is local for the Zariski topology of Z', thus there is only to show the result for ϕ étale, but this comes from (2.4) and the fact that $\overline{\{z_2\}}$ is normal in a neighborhood of z_1 and thus the closures in $Z' \times_Z \overline{\{z_2\}}$ of two different points of Z_{z_2} (which are two irreducible components of $Z' \times_Z \overline{\{z_2\}}$, which is normal) have empty intersection. □

Remark. If one has a kêt morphism $Z'' \to Z'$ such that $Z'' \to Z$ is also strictly plurinodal, then, as for [5, cor. 2.11], the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Str}(Z''_{z_1}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Str}(Z''_{z_2}) \arrow[d] \\
\mathrm{Str}(Z'_{z_1}) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Str}(Z'_{z_2})
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative.

If $Z' \to Z$ is a strictly polystable morphism, it induces (as in [5, lem. 6.1]) a morphism of polysimplicial complexes

$$\mathrm{C}(Z'_{z_1}) \to \mathrm{C}(Z'_{z_2}).$$

If now $Z' \to \cdots \to Z$ is a strictly polystable fibration, using lemma 4.2, one constructs by induction on the length of the fibration a morphism of polysimplicial complexes:

$$\mathrm{C}(Z'_{z_1}) \to \mathrm{C}(Z'_{z_2}).$$

Remark. The morphism $Z' \to Z$ is saturated, so that if s_1 is a log geometric point of Z over $\bar{z}_1$, the morphism $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{geom}}(Z'_{\bar{z}_1}/s_1) \to \mathrm{C}(Z'_{\bar{z}_1})$ is an isomorphism (and the same thing is also true for z_2).

Let $(\bar{z}_2 \to \bar{z}_1)$ be a good couple of log geometric points of Z.
By replacing Z by the strictly local scheme Z_1 at $\bar{z}_1$ (and let us chose a good chart modeled by P of Z_1 at $\bar{z}_1$), one gets a morphism $\psi : \mathrm{Str}(Z'_{\bar{z}_1}) \to \mathrm{Str}(Z'_{z'_2})$, where z'_2 is the image of $\bar{z}_2$ in the strict localization of Z at $\bar{z}_1$.
Let x_1 be the generic point of a stratum $\tilde{x}_1$ of $Z'_{\bar{z}_1}$, and let Z'_1 be the local scheme of Z'_{Z_1} at x_1 and let Z''_1 be the closure of $\psi(x_1)$ in Z'_1 (x_1 is still in Z''_1). However, étale locally in a neighborhood of x_1, $Z' \to Z$ is isomorphic to the pullback to Z of $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[Q] \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[P]$ where $P \to Q$ is a saturated morphism. Therefore the morphism from the closure of a stratum $\overline{\{x_2\}}$ of $Z'_{z'_2}$ to its image Z_0 is étale locally isomorphic to the pullback to Z_0 of $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[F'] \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[F]$ where F' is the face of Q corresponding to x_2 and F is the preimage face of F' in P. Then $F \to F'$ is also a saturated morphism of monoids thanks to lemma 1.4. Then $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[F'] \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[F]$ is a separable morphism of schemes. According to [10, cor. 18.9.8], the fibers of $Z''_1 \to Z_0$ are geometrically connected. In particular the stratum x_2, image of x_1 by $\psi : \mathrm{Str}(Z'_{\bar{z}_1}) \to \mathrm{Str}(Z'_{z'_2})$ is geometrically connected, thus defines a stratum of $\mathrm{Str}(Z'_{\bar{z}_2})$.
Thus one gets a canonical morphism $\mathrm{Str}(Z'_{\bar{z}_1}) \to \mathrm{Str}(Z'_{\bar{z}_2})$ which makes the diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \mathrm{Str}(Z'_{\bar{z}_2}) \arrow[d] \\
\mathrm{Str}(Z'_{\bar{z}_1}) \arrow[ur] \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Str}(Z'_{z'_2})
\end{tikzcd}$$

One thus gets a cospecialization morphism

$$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{geom}}(Z'_{z_1}/z_1) \to \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{geom}}(Z'_{z_2}/z_2).$$

If $Z' \to \cdots \to Z$ is now a polystable fibration of log schemes, after changing Z by an étale neighborhood, there is $Z'' \to Z'$ an étale morphism of polystable fibrations over Z such that $Z'' \to \cdots \to Z$ is a strictly polystable fibration of log schemes. Then, by taking the cokernel of the horizontal arrows of the commutative square:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C(Z'''_{\bar{z}_1}) \arrow[r, shift left] \arrow[r, shift right] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{C}(Z''_{\bar{z}_1}) \arrow[d] \\
\mathrm{C}(Z'''_{\bar{z}_2}) \arrow[r, shift left] \arrow[r, shift right] & \mathrm{C}(Z''_{\bar{z}_2})
\end{tikzcd}$$

one gets a cospecialization map $\mathrm{C}(Z'_{\bar{z}_1}) \to \mathrm{C}(Z'_{\bar{z}_2})$ (which is functorial with respect to étale morphisms).
This cospecialization map commutes with két morphism of polystable log fibrations.

If $Z'' \to Z'$ is a két morphism, and $Z' \to Z$ is a polystable log fibration and let $(\bar{z}_2 \to \bar{z}_1)$ be a good couple of log geometric points.

Proposition 4.4. *There is a canonical cospecialization morphism*

$$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{geom}}(Z''_{z_1}/z_1) \to \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{geom}}(Z''_{z_2}/z_2)$$

which is functorial in Z''.

Proof. Let us assume that $Z' \to Z$ is strictly polystable.

After replacing Z by some két neighborhood of $\bar{z}_1$ and thus changing z_1 and z_2 by the reduced subscheme of a connected Galois két covering in $\bar{z}_1$ and $\bar{z}_2$, one may assume that Z has a global chart modeled on a monoid M, that there is an étale covering finite family (U_i') of Z', where U_i' is étale over $Z \times_{\operatorname{Spec}\mathbf{Z}[M]} \operatorname{Spec}\mathbf{Z}[P_i]$, Z'' has an étale covering family (U_i'') where U_i'' is étale over $U_i' \times_{\operatorname{Spec}\mathbf{Z}[P_i]} \operatorname{Spec}\mathbf{Z}[Q_i]$ and where $M \to Q_i$ is saturated, so that there is a (p')-Kummer morphism of monoid $Q_i \to P_i'$ such that $U_i''' = U_i'' \times_{\operatorname{Spec}\mathbf{Z}[Q_i]} \operatorname{Spec}\mathbf{Z}[P_i']$ still fits into a polystable fibration $\underline{U}_i''' : U_i''' \to \cdots \to Z$ and $\underline{U}_i''' \to \underline{U}_i'$ is a két morphism of log polystable fibrations (in particular $U_i''' \to U_i''$ is a Galois covering of group $G = ((P_i')^{\mathrm{gp}}/Q_i^{\mathrm{gp}})^{\vee}$, and $Z'' \to Z$ is saturated).

Then $\mathrm{C}(U'''_{i,z_1}) \to \mathrm{C}(U'''_{i,z_2})$ is G equivariant, so that it induces a morphism $\mathrm{C}(U''_{i,z_2}) = \mathrm{C}(U'''_{i,z_2})/G \to \mathrm{C}(U'''_{i,z_1})/G = \mathrm{C}(U''_{i,z_1})$. One deduces from the fact that the cospecialization map commutes with két morphisms of polystable log fibrations that it descends to a morphism $\mathrm{C}(Z''_{z_1}) \to \mathrm{C}(Z''_{z_2})$.

By taking the projective limit over strict étale neighborhood of $\mathring{\bar{z}}_1$, one gets a morphism $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{geom}}(Z''_{z_1}/z_1) \to \mathrm{C}(Z''_{z_2'})$, where z_2' is the image of $\bar{z}_2$ in the strict localization of Z at $\mathring{\bar{z}}_1$.

If one has a log geometrical stratum of Z''_{z_1}, using [10, cor. 18.9.8] as previously, one gets that the image stratum of Z''_{z_2} is geometrically connected.

One thus gets the wanted morphism $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{geom}}(Z''_{z_1}/z_1) \to \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{geom}}(Z''_{z_2}/z_2)$.

If $Z' \to Z$ is not assumed to be strictly polystable anymore, after changing Z by an étale neighborhood, there is an étale morphism $Z_0' \to Z'$ of polystable log fibrations over Z such that $Z_0' \to \cdots \to Z$ is a strictly polystable fibration of log schemes. Let $Z_1' = Z_0' \times_{Z'} Z_0'$. Then, the wanted morphism is obtained by taking the cokernel of the horizontal arrows of the following commutative square:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{geom}}(Z''_{1,z_1}/z_1) \arrow[r, shift left] \arrow[r, shift right] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{C}(Z''_{0,z_1}/z_1) \arrow[d] \\
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{geom}}(Z''_{1,z_2}/z_2) \arrow[r, shift left] \arrow[r, shift right] & \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{geom}}(Z''_{1,z_2}/z_2)
\end{tikzcd}$$

□

Let us assume now that $Z'' \to Z$ is proper, that Z is log regular and that $\bar{z}_1$ and $\bar{z}_2$ are in the same stratum of Z (*i.e.* the cospecialization map $M_{Z,z_1} \to M_{Z,z_2}$ is an isomorphism). We may replace Z by its strict localization at z_1 (this does not affect our cospecialization map). In particular Z is log Zariski. After some further két localization, we will assume $Z'' \to Z$ to be saturated.

The fact that z_1 and z_2 are in the same stratum of Z easily implies that $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{geom}}(Z''_{z_1}) \to \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{geom}}(Z''_{z_2})$ maps non degenerate polysimplices to non degenerate polysimplices (it suffices to look étale locally).

Let $Z''^{(i)}$ be the closure of $(Z''_{\bar{z}_2})^{(i)}$ in Z'' endowed with the reduced closed subscheme, and $(\widetilde{Z}'')^{(i)}$ be its normalization. By looking étale locally on Z'' and thanks to the fact that z_2 and z_1 are in the same stratum, one sees that $(Z''^{(i)})_{z_1}$ is just $(Z''_{z_1})^{(i)}$ and that $((\widetilde{Z}'')^{(i)})_{z_1}$ is just the normalization of $(Z''_{z_1})^{(i)}$. Thus the connected components of $((\widetilde{Z}'')^{(i)})_{z_1}$ and $((\widetilde{Z}'')^{(i)})_{z_2}$ are in bijections with the strata of Z''_{z_1} and Z''_{z_2} of rank i. As previously explained, since $Z'' \to Z$ is saturated, $(\widetilde{Z}'')^{(i)}$ is separable over the closure of z_2 and thus the Stein factorizations of $(\widetilde{Z}'')^{(i)}$ for all i tells us that $\mathrm{Str}(Z_{\bar{z}_1}) \to \mathrm{Str}(Z_{\bar{z}_2})$ is bijective.

If one assumes moreover that $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{geom}}(Z''_{z_2}/z_2)$ is interiorly free (this is the case if $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{geom}}(Z'_{z_2}/z_2)$ is interiorly free),

$$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{geom}}(Z''_{z_1}/z_1) \to \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{geom}}(Z''_{z_2}/z_2)$$

is also an isomorphism.

We still assume $Z'' \to Z$ proper, Z log regular, and assume that for any stratum of Z with geometric generic point $\bar{z}$, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{geom}}(Z'_z)$ is interiorly free.
Let $z_2 \to z_1$ be any specialization of log geometric points of Z. Let $x \to z_2$ be a specialization where x is a log geometric point over the generic point of the stratum of z_2 (there exists such a specialization). Thus $(x \to z_2)$ and $(x \to z_1)$ are good couples. Then one has the morphisms:

$$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{geom}}(Z_{z_2}) \xleftarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{geom}}(Z_x) \to \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{geom}}(Z_{z_1})$$

so that we get a morphism $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{geom}}(Z_{z_2}) \to \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{geom}}(Z_{z_1})$ which does not depend on $x \to z_2$ (since any other specialization $x \to z_2$ goes through our previous specialization).
Moreover, if z_1 and z_2 lie in the same stratum, the cospecialization morphism is an isomorphism.

Remark. Under the same assumptions, let $\bar{y}_2 \to \bar{y}_1$ be a specialization of log geometric points over fs log points $y_2 \to y_1$ of Z' whose images $z_2 \to z_1$ in Z lie in the same stratum of Z. One then have a cospecialization functor $F : \mathrm{KCov}_{\mathrm{geom}}(Z'_{z_1}/z_1)^{\mathbb{L}} \to \mathrm{KCov}_{\mathrm{geom}}(Z'_{z_2}/z_2)^{\mathbb{L}}$ if $\mathbb{L}$ does not contain the residual characteristic p at z_2 and z_1. If Z''_{z_1} is some geometric két covering of Z'_{z_1}, it extends thanks to corollary 1.5 to some két neighborhood U of $\bar{z}_1$ in Z. Let $Z''_U \to U$ be this extension (unique after replacing U by some smaller neighborhood of $\bar{z}_1$), and $F(Z''_{z_1})$ is just the fiber in $\bar{z}_2$ of Z''_U. Then one has an isomorphism $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{geom}}(Z''_1) \simeq \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{geom}}(Z''_2)$, which induces a functor of fibered categories:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{D}_{\text{top-geom}}(Z'_1) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{D}_{\text{top-geom}}(Z'_2) \arrow[d] \\
\mathrm{KCov}_{\mathrm{geom}}(Z'_{z_1}/z_1)^{\mathbb{L}} \arrow[r] & \mathrm{KCov}_{\mathrm{geom}}(Z'_{z_2}/z_2)^{\mathbb{L}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

and thus a specialization morphism $\pi_1^{\text{temp-geom}}(Z'_{z_2}, y_2)^{\mathbb{L}} \to \pi_1^{\text{temp-geom}}(Z'_{z_1}, y_1)^{\mathbb{L}}$.

4.2 Cospecialization morphisms of pro-(p') tempered fundamental groups

Let K be a discrete valuation field, and $\operatorname{Spec} O_K$ is endowed with its usual log structure, and assume that the residual characteristic p of K is not in $\mathbb{L}$.
Let $X \to Y$ be a proper and polystable log fibration such that $Y \to \operatorname{Spec} O_K$ is log smooth and proper, and assume that for every geometric point $\bar{y}$ of Y_s, $\mathrm{C}(X_{\bar{y}})$ is interiorly free (this is for example the case if $X \to Y$ is strictly polystable or if the fibers of $X \to Y$ are semistable curves).
Let y_1 and y_2 be two discretely valued points of $Y_{\mathrm{tr}}^{\mathrm{an}}$ (after replacing $\mathcal{H}(y_1)$ by

an isometric extension, we may assume $\mathcal{H}(y_1)$ to have an algebraically closed residue field). One has canonical morphisms of fs log schemes $\operatorname{Spec} O_{\mathcal{H}(y_i)} \to Y$ for $i = 1, 2$. Let s_i be the fs log point of Y corresponding to the special point of $\operatorname{Spec} O_{\mathcal{H}(y_i)}$ with the inverse image log structure. Let s'_i be the fs log point of Y with same underlying scheme as s_i but endowed with the inverse image log structure.
A geometric point $\bar{y}_i$ (of the Berkovich space Y^{an}) over y_i induces a log geometric point $\bar{s}_i$ over s_i ($\bar{y}_i$ can also be seen as a log geometric point of Y since the log structure of Y is trivial at y_i. Let $\bar{s}'_i$ be a log geometric point of $s'_i \times_{s_i} \bar{s}_i$).
Let us assume that one has a specialization $\bar{s}'_2 \to \bar{s}'_1$ (and there is one as soon as there is a specialization between the underlying geometric points of $\mathring{Y}$).

More precisely, we define $\mathrm{Pt}^{\mathrm{an}}(Y)$ to be the category whose objects are geometric points $\bar{y}$ of $Y^{\mathrm{an}}_{\mathrm{tr}}$, such that $\mathcal{H}(y)$ is discretely valued (where y is the underlying point of $\bar{y}$) and $\mathrm{Hom}(\bar{y}, \bar{y}')$ is the set of két specializations from $\bar{s}$ to $\bar{s}'$ where $\bar{s}$ and $\bar{s}'$ are the log reductions of $\bar{y}$ and $\bar{y}'$.
We will also define $\mathrm{Pt}^{\mathrm{an}}_0(Y)$ to be the category obtained from $\mathrm{Pt}^{\mathrm{an}}(Y)$ by inverting the class of morphisms $\bar{y} \to \bar{y}'$ such that $\bar{s}$ and $\bar{s}'$ lie in the same stratum of Y.

Theorem 4.5. *For any morphism $\bar{y}_2 \to \bar{y}_1$ in $\mathrm{Pt}^{\mathrm{an}}(Y)$, there is an outer morphism*

$$\pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(X^{\mathrm{an}}_{\bar{y}_1})^{\mathbb{L}} \to \pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(X^{\mathrm{an}}_{\bar{y}_2})^{\mathbb{L}},$$

which is an isomorphism if s_1 and s_2 lie in the same stratum of Y.

Proof. One has a cospecialization functor

$$F : \mathrm{KCov}_{\mathrm{geom}}(X_{s_1}/s_1)^{\mathbb{L}} \to \mathrm{KCov}_{\mathrm{geom}}(X_{s_2}/s_2)^{\mathbb{L}}$$

which factors through $\mathrm{KCov}_{\mathrm{geom}}(X_{Z_0}/Z_0)^{\mathbb{L}}$ where Z_0 is the strict localization at s_1. Let η be some geometric point above the generic point of Y.
As the cospecialization functor $\mathrm{KCov}_{\mathrm{geom}}(X_{s_i}/s_i)^{\mathbb{L}} \to \mathrm{KCov}_{\mathrm{geom}}(X_{y_i}/y_i)^{\mathbb{L}}$ and $\mathrm{KCov}_{\mathrm{geom}}(X_{y_i}/y_i)^{\mathbb{L}} \to \mathrm{KCov}_{\mathrm{geom}}(X_{\eta}/\eta)^{\mathbb{L}}$ are equivalences ([15, prop. 1.15]), one gets that $\mathrm{KCov}_{\mathrm{geom}}(X_{s_1}/s_1)^{\mathbb{L}} \to \mathrm{KCov}_{\mathrm{geom}}(X_{s_2}/s_2)^{\mathbb{L}}$ is an equivalence.

If Z_{s_1} is some geometric két covering of Z_{s_1}, it extends thanks to corollary 1.5 to some két neighborhood U of $\bar{s}_1$ in Z. Let $Z_U \to U$ be this extension (unique after replacing U by some smaller neighborhood of $\bar{s}_1$), and $F(Z_{s_1})$ is just the fiber in $\bar{z}_2$ of Z_U. Then one has a cospecialization morphism $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{geom}}(Z_{s_1}) \to \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{geom}}(Z_{s_2})$, which induces a specialization functor

$$\mathcal{D}_{\text{top-geom}_{X_{s_2}}}(Z_{s_2}) \to \mathcal{D}_{\text{top-geom}_{X_{s_2}}}(Z_{s_1}),$$

which is an equivalence of categories if $\bar{s}_1$ and $\bar{s}_2$ lie in the same stratum of Y.
Thus we have a 2-commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{D}_{\text{top-geom}_{X_{s_1}}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{D}_{\text{top-geom}_{X_{s_2}}} \arrow[d] \\
\mathrm{KCov}_{\mathrm{geom}}(X_{s_1}/s_1)^{\mathbb{L}} \arrow[r, "F^{-1}"] & \mathrm{KCov}_{\mathrm{geom}}(X_{s_2}/s_2)^{\mathbb{L}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where F^{-1} is some quasi inverse of F. This induces a cospecialization morphism

$$\pi_1^{\text{temp-geom}}(X_{s_1}/s_1)^{\mathbb{L}} \to \pi_1^{\text{temp-geom}}(X_{s_2}/s_2)^{\mathbb{L}}.$$

The comparison morphisms of theorem 3.3 gives us the wanted morphism. ☐

Thus one gets a functor $\mathrm{Pt}_0^{\mathrm{an}}(Y)^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathrm{OutGp}_{\mathrm{top}}$ (where $\mathrm{OutGp}_{\mathrm{top}}$ is the category of topological groups with outer morphisms) which maps $\bar{y}$ to $\pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(X_{\bar{y}}^{\mathrm{an}})^{\mathbb{L}}$.

Such a functor cannot exist if $p \neq 0$ and $\mathbb{L}$ is the set of all primes. Indeed, if X_1 and X_2 are two Mumford curves with isomorphic stable reduction but with different metrics on the graphs of their stable models, then their tempered fundamental groups are not isomorphic. Let us consider a moduli space of stable curves with level structure, endowed with its canonical log structure, and a geometric point $\bar{s}$ in the special fiber of the moduli space such that the corresponding stable curve has totally degenerate reduction. In particular, it has at least two double points, and thus the rank of $\overline{M}_{\bar{s}}^{\mathrm{gp}}$ is at least two. Let us take two valuative fs log points s_1 and s_2 (*i.e.* $\overline{M}_{s_i} \simeq \mathbf{N}$) such that the corresponding morphisms $\overline{M}_{\bar{s}} \to \mathbf{N}$ are linearly independant, for. Let η_1 and η_2 be discretely valued points of the analytic geometric fiber whose log reductions are s_1 and s_2. Then the two corresponding geometric Mumford curves have different metric on the graph of their stable model, and thus have non isomorphic tempered fundamental groups. But two geometric log points over s_1 and s_2 are isomorphic with respect to specialization for két topology.

If one drops the assumption about inner freeness, one still gets this result, by the same proof:

Theorem 4.6. *For any couple of geometric points $\bar{y}_1$ and $\bar{y}_2$ of Y above discretely valued points y_1, y_2 of Y. Let $\bar{s}_1, \bar{s}_2$ be their log reductions and assume that $\bar{s}_1$ is the generic point of a stratum of Y. Then there is a functorial cospecialization outer homomorphism:*

$$\pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(X_{\bar{y}_1}^{\mathrm{an}})^{\mathbb{L}} \to \pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(X_{\bar{y}_2}^{\mathrm{an}})^{\mathbb{L}}.$$

References

[1] Yves André, *On a geometric description of* $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p/\mathbf{Q}_p)$ *and a p-adic avatar of* $\widehat{GT}$, Duke Mathematical Journal **119** (2003), 1–39.

[2] ______, *Period mappings and differential equations : From* $\mathbf{C}$ *to* $\mathbf{C}_p$, MSJ Memoirs, vol. 12, Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 2003.

[3] Vladimir G. Berkovich, *Spectral theory and analytic geometry over non-archimedean fields*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 33, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1990.

[4] ______, *Vanishing cycles for formal schemes*, Inventiones Mathematicae **115** (1994), no. 3, 539–571.

[5] ______, *Smooth p-adic analytic spaces are locally contractible*, Inventiones Mathematicae **137** (1999), 1–84.

[6] ______, *Smooth p-adic analytic spaces are locally contractible. II*, Geometric aspects of Dwork theory, Walter de Gruyter & co, 2004, pp. 293–370.

[7] Aise Johan de Jong, *étale fundametal group of non archimedean analytic spaces*, Compositio mathematica **97** (1995), 89–118.

[8] Jean Giraud, *cohomologie non abélienne*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 179, Springer-Verlag, 1971.

[9] Alexander Grothendieck (ed.), *Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental (SGA1)*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 224, Berlin, Springer, 1971.

[10] Alexander Grothendieck and Jean Dieudonné, *Eléments de geométrie algébrique. IV: Etude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas (Quatrième partie)*, Publications mathématiques de l'IHES **31** (1967), 5–361.

[11] Luc Illusie, *An overview of the works of K. Fujiwara, K. Kato, and C. Nakayama on logarithmic étale cohomology*, Cohomologies p-adiques et applications arithmétiques (II), Astérisque, vol. 279, Société mathématique de France, 2002, pp. 271–322.

[12] Luc Illusie, Kazuya Kato, and Chikara Nakayama, *Quasi-unipotent logarithmic riemann-hilbert correspondences*, Journal of Mathematical Sciences of the University of Tokyo **12** (2005), 1–66.

[13] Kazuya Kato, *Logarithmic structures of Fontaine-Illusie*, Algebraic analysis,Geometry and Number theory, Proceedings of the JAMI inaugural conference (Baltimore and London), The John Hopkins University Press, 1989, pp. 191–224.

[14] ______, *Toric singularities*, American Journal of Mathematics **116** (1994), no. 5, 1073–1099.

[15] Mark Kisin, *Prime to p fundamental groups and tame Galois action*, Annales de l'Institut Fourier **50** (2000), no. 4, 1099–1126.

[16] Emmanuel Lepage, *Coverings in p-adic analytic geometry and log coverings I: Cospecialization of the (p')-tempered fundamental group for a family of curves*, arxiv: 0909:2805.

[17] Shinichi Mochizuki, *Semi-graphs of anabelioids*, Publications of the Research Institute of Mathematical Sciences **42** (2006), no. 1, 221–322.

[18] Wiesława Nizioł, *Toric singularities: log-blow-ups and global resolutions*, Journal of algebraic geometry **15** (2006), no. 1, 1–29.

[19] Arthur Ogus, *Lectures on logarithmic algebraic geometry*, notes préliminaires, `http://math.berkeley.edu/~ogus/preprints/log_book/logbook.pdf`.

[20] Fabrice Orgogozo, *Erratum et compléments à l'article « Altérations et groupe fondamental premier à p » paru au bulletin de la s.m.f. (131), tome 1, 2003*, unpublished.

[21] ______, *Altérations et groupe fondamental premier à p*, Bulletin de la société mathématique de France **131** (2003), no. 1, 123–147.

[22] Jakob Stix, *Projective anabelian curves in positive characteristic and descent theory for log-étale covers*, Ph.D. thesis, Universität Bonn Mathematisches Institut, Bonn, 2002.

[23] Isabelle Vidal, *Morphismes log étales et descente par homéomorphismes universels*, Compte rendu de l'Académie des sciences **332** (2001), no. 1, 239–244.